

# Entrepreneurship Practices Among Private Higher Education Institutions Region 1: Basis For Policy Recommendation

Jocelyn Gomez Evangelista<sup>1</sup>

1 – Northwestern University, Ilocos Norte, Philippines

[jocelyn.evangelista@nwu.edu.ph](mailto:jocelyn.evangelista@nwu.edu.ph) / 0000-0003-3530-4736

Publication Date: May 23, 2026

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.20350740](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20350740)

## Abstract

This study primarily aimed to explore and assess the entrepreneurship practices among private Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Region 1 as a basis for policy recommendation. It investigated the profile of the respondents in terms of their entrepreneurial activities, including the number and type of programs, extent of involvement, entrepreneurial experiences outside the institution, and the nature of those experiences. The study further examined the level of entrepreneurship practices within private HEIs across leadership and governance; organizational capacity; entrepreneurial teaching and learning; preparation and support for entrepreneurs; digital transformation; knowledge exchange and collaboration; internationalization; and impact measurement. It also evaluated the enhanced entrepreneurial landscape in terms of resources, curricula, policies and systems, student support, linkages, and workshops and training. Barriers identified included lack of sustainable funding, limited awareness of entrepreneurship's importance, insufficient mentors, and weak networks. The study likewise determined significant relationships between the entrepreneurial landscape, entrepreneurship practices, and respondents' profiles.

Using a mixed-methods approach, quantitative data were gathered from 437 respondents (students, faculty, and administrators), while qualitative insights were collected through interviews and open-ended responses. Frequency, percentage, and mean were used to describe profiles and practices, while Pearson correlation examined associations.

Findings revealed that most respondents participated in 2–3 entrepreneurial programs annually, with workshops, conferences, and lectures as the most common. Administrators showed higher engagement, while faculty and students often had informal entrepreneurial exposure. Entrepreneurship practices were rated “High,” particularly in leadership, governance, teaching, and digital transformation. The entrepreneurial landscape was rated “Moderate,” with workshops and training as strengths and resources as weakest. Correlation analysis confirmed significant positive relationships, especially in internationalization, curricula, policies, and digital capability.

Based on findings, recommendations focused on strengthening structured entrepreneurial programs, faculty development, global partnerships, digital initiatives, funding strategies, mentorship, and stakeholder networks to enhance institutional capacity and create a sustainable entrepreneurial ecosystem.

**Keywords:** *entrepreneurship practices, private HEIs, Region 1, policy recommendation.*



## Introduction

### *Background/Rationale*

Higher education was facing financial and competitive pressures, particularly among private higher education institutions (HEIs) that relied heavily on tuition fees. With limited government funding and rising costs, entrepreneurship emerged as a vital strategy to foster resilience, innovation, and sustainability. Globally, universities formed industry partnerships, commercialized research, and launched campus-based ventures to strengthen financial viability and enhance student learning. However, challenges such as limited funding, regulatory restrictions, and the cultural shift required for entrepreneurship persisted (Al Issa et al., 2024; Arya et al., 2024).

In the Philippines, private HEIs played a critical role in tertiary education but faced persistent financial difficulties. To address these, institutions explored entrepreneurial practices such as launching innovation centers, developing industry linkages, and creating programs that equipped students with entrepreneurial skills (Claro et al., 2024; Hervás-Torres et al., 2024). Despite these initiatives, barriers remained, including budget constraints, weak networks, and limited government incentives.

In Region 1 (Ilocos Region), private HEIs were essential in expanding access to education and contributing to local development. Yet, they confronted unique challenges such as small student populations, economic constraints, and insufficient resources for entrepreneurship programs. While some institutions introduced community-based training and income-generating projects, implementation remained fragmented due to weak infrastructure and external linkages.

Most existing studies had focused on entrepreneurship in urbanized or larger universities, leaving a gap in understanding the distinct realities of regional HEIs (Augsberger et al., 2025; Whitacre, 2025). This study addressed that gap by examining the entrepreneurship practices of private HEIs in Region 1, identifying challenges and opportunities, and offering policy recommendations. Its findings provided school leaders, policymakers, and stakeholders with evidence-based insights to enhance institutional resilience, strengthen entrepreneurial culture, and support regional economic growth.

### *Review of Related Literature*

This study was anchored on the **HEInnovate framework** (European Commission & OECD, 2021), which outlined eight key dimensions of entrepreneurship in higher education: leadership and governance, organizational capacity, entrepreneurial teaching and learning, support for entrepreneurs, digital transformation, knowledge exchange and collaboration, internationalization, and impact measurement. These dimensions provided a comprehensive structure for assessing how private higher education institutions (HEIs) cultivated innovation and entrepreneurial culture (Zheng et al., 2025).

Leadership and governance were shown to be critical in shaping entrepreneurial vision and ensuring institutional support (Argente García et al., 2024; Anderson & Lidow, 2025). Organizational capacity, particularly sustainable funding and efficient resource management, determined how effectively HEIs sustained entrepreneurial initiatives (Huynh et al., 2024; Molavi et al., 2025). Entrepreneurial teaching and learning emphasized experiential and learner-

centered approaches (Campos-Blázquez et al., 2024), while institutional support through mentorship and incubation programs strengthened student confidence in pursuing ventures (Gutuleac et al., 2025; Spivack et al., 2025).

Digital transformation emerged as a vital enabler, equipping HEIs with tools for modern entrepreneurship, though many institutions lagged in this area (Mavlutova et al., 2025; Yu et al., 2024). Knowledge exchange and collaboration with industry and community partners enhanced entrepreneurial outcomes (Schmidt et al., 2024; Marciánová & Pirožek, 2023), while internationalization introduced global perspectives into HEI practices (Malodia et al., 2023; Jalil et al., 2025). Measuring impact remained a challenge, as few institutions systematically tracked entrepreneurial outcomes (Penadés-Blasco et al., 2024; Young & Adams, 2024).

The literature also highlighted that HEIs functioned as incubators for students, shaping not only business knowledge but also personality traits such as self-efficacy, creativity, and risk tolerance (Parkinson, 2025; Weng et al., 2025; Birani-Nasraddin et al., 2024). Theories such as the Resource-Based View (RBV) emphasized internal resources and innovation infrastructure (Abdurrahman, 2025; Gu, 2025), while the Triple Helix model highlighted collaboration between universities, industry, and government (Zuo et al., 2025a; Saif et al., 2024). Institutional Theory explained how regulations and cultural factors shaped entrepreneurial adoption (Tomczyk, 2024). At the individual level, the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) demonstrated how attitudes, norms, and perceived control influenced entrepreneurial intentions (Uddin et al., 2022).

Empirical studies revealed that entrepreneurial activities enhanced student competencies, fostered job creation, and stimulated regional growth (Çera et al., 2020; Kallio et al., 2020; Santos & Mota, 2020). However, barriers persisted, including limited faculty expertise (Gupta & Singh, 2021), resource constraints (Khan & Hossain, 2019), weak external linkages (Rojas & Sánchez, 2023), and risk-averse institutional cultures (Nguyen & Le, 2020). University–industry collaboration was shown to be a strong catalyst, bridging theory and practice while improving graduates' employability and innovation capacity (Ryan et al., 2022; Shankar & Corbett, 2024a).

Finally, policies and institutional support were identified as essential for sustaining entrepreneurial ecosystems. Effective policy frameworks, funding mechanisms, and government incentives strengthened HEI efforts (Jafari-Sadeghi et al., 2019; Botha, 2020; OECD, 2023). Institutional leadership and support offices, such as innovation centers and technology transfer units, played key roles in operationalizing entrepreneurial initiatives (Onjewu et al., 2021). Collectively, the literature demonstrated that effective entrepreneurship in HEIs required leadership commitment, supportive policies, resource investment, experiential pedagogy, and collaboration with stakeholders to achieve both educational and economic impact (Kelly & McAdam, 2023; Raimi et al., 2023).

### *Statement of the Problem*

This study mainly aimed to explore and assess the entrepreneurship practices among private higher education institutions in Region 1: A basis for policy recommendation.

Specifically, it sought answers to the following:

1. What is the profile of the respondents in terms of Entrepreneurial Activities along with:



- 1.1 Number of entrepreneurial programs or initiatives participated at your institution annually,
- 1.2 Type of program or initiative participated,
- 1.3 Extent of involvement in entrepreneurial activities,
- 1.4 Entrepreneurial experience outside the institution, and
- 1.5 Nature of entrepreneurial experience?
2. What is the level of entrepreneurship practices among private HEIs in Region 1 along with:
  - 2.1 Leadership and Governance,
  - 2.2 Organizational Capacity: Funding, People, and Incentives,
  - 2.3 Entrepreneurial Teaching and Learning,
  - 2.4 Preparing and Supporting Entrepreneurs,
  - 2.5 Digital Transformation and Capability,
  - 2.6 Knowledge Exchange and Collaboration,
  - 2.7 The Internationalized Institution, and
  - 2.8 Measuring Impact?
3. What is the level of enhanced entrepreneurial landscape among private HEIs in Region 1 in terms of:
  - 3.1 Resources,
  - 3.2 Curricula,
  - 3.3 Policies and Systems,
  - 3.4 Student Support,
  - 3.5 Linkages, and
  - 3.6 Workshop and Training?
4. What are the main barriers faced by private HEIs in the Region when implementing entrepreneurial practices:
  - 4.1 Lack of Sustainable Funding,
  - 4.2 Limited Awareness About the Importance of Entrepreneurship,
  - 4.3 Insufficient Qualified Faculty or Mentors, and
  - 4.4 Weak Networks with External Stakeholders?
5. Is there a significant relationship between the level of entrepreneurship practices and the profile of the respondents?
6. Is there a significant relationship between the entrepreneurship practices among private HEIs in Region 1 and the level of enhanced entrepreneurial landscape within private HEIs?
7. What are the policy recommendations for developing the entrepreneurship program of Private HEIs in Region 1?

### *Hypotheses*

The study tested the following hypotheses:



1. There is no significant relationship between the level of entrepreneurship practices (EPs) of private Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Region 1 and the profile of the respondents.
2. There is no significant relationship between the entrepreneurship practices among private HEIs in Region 1 and the level of enhanced entrepreneurial landscape among private HEIs in Region 1.

## Methodology

### *Research Design*

The study used a mixed-methods design, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews. This approach allowed measurement of the extent of entrepreneurial practices while also capturing in-depth perspectives from students, faculty, administrators, and program directors (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Aurini et al., 2021).

### *Participants*

A total enumeration sampling was applied to graduating students, faculty, and administrators from selected private HEIs in Region 1 offering Business Administration and related programs. Institutions were chosen based on accreditation, academic reputation, and community involvement. Of the 661 targeted respondents, 437 participated, including 370 students, 53 faculty, and 14 administrators. Eligibility required enrollment or active teaching/administration in the relevant programs during AY 2024–2025.

### *Instrument*

The main tool was a validated questionnaire adapted from Tabib (2021) and the Entrepreneurial Universities Framework (EC-OECD, 2012). It was divided into four parts: respondent profile, entrepreneurship practices, entrepreneurial landscape, and barriers. Items were measured on a five-point Likert scale. Expert validation confirmed content accuracy, and Cronbach's Alpha scores (0.958–0.997) indicated excellent reliability. Informal interviews with key stakeholders complemented the survey data.

### *Procedure*

Ethical clearance was secured from the Institutional Review Board, and permission was obtained from university leaders. Respondents provided informed consent before participating. Questionnaires were distributed both online (Google Forms) and in print, depending on accessibility. Participation was voluntary, and confidentiality was maintained throughout.

### *Data Analysis*

Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage, mean) summarized respondent profiles and levels of entrepreneurship practices. Pearson correlation analysis tested the relationships between (1) entrepreneurial practices and the enhanced entrepreneurial landscape and (2) entrepreneurial practices and respondent profiles. Both quantitative results and qualitative inputs informed the interpretation of findings.

## Results

*Table 1. Profile of Respondents in Terms of Entrepreneurial Activities*

Factors	Administrato	Faculty	Students	As A Whole
---------	--------------	---------	----------	------------

	rs		f		f		f	
	F	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
<b>Number of entrepreneurial programs or initiatives participated at your institution annually</b>								
More than 10	4	28.57	1	1.89	6	1.62	11	2.52
7- 9	0	0.00	10	18.87	5	1.35	15	3.43
4 - 6	1	7.14	17	32.08	103	27.84	121	27.69
2 - 3	9	64.29	24	45.28	190	51.35	223	51.03
1	0	0.00	1	1.89	66	17.84	67	15.33
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>*Type of program or initiative participated</b>								
Workshops/Conferences/Lectures	14	100.00	50	94.34	283	76.49	347	79.41
Competitions	5	35.71	29	54.72	144	38.92	178	40.73
Incubator Programs	0	0.00	2	3.77	3	0.81	5	1.14
Mentorship Programs	5	35.71	28	52.83	86	23.24	119	27.23
Research Projects	13	92.86	43	81.13	196	52.97	252	57.67
Other	1	7.14	0	0.00	73	19.73	74	16.93
None	0	0.00	0	0.00	14	3.78	14	3.20
<b>Extent of involvement in entrepreneurial activities</b>								
Not involved	0	0.00	1	1.89	81	21.89	82	18.76
Occasionally involved	4	28.57	30	56.60	225	60.81	259	59.27
Regularly involved	2	14.29	10	18.87	41	11.08	53	12.13
Actively involved	8	57.14	12	22.64	23	6.22	43	9.84
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>Entrepreneurial experience outside the institution</b>								
More than 10	4	28.57	4	7.55	0	0.00	8	1.83
7- 9	1	7.14	0	0.00	5	1.35	6	1.37
4-6	5	35.71	7	13.21	36	9.73	48	10.98
2-3	4	28.57	24	45.28	116	31.35	144	32.95
1	0	0.00	18	33.96	213	57.57	231	52.86
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>Nature of entrepreneurial experience</b>								
Start-up founder	3	21.43	0	0.00	1	0.27	4	0.92
Business owner	6	42.86	30	56.60	53	14.32	89	20.37
Freelancer	0	0.00	4	7.55	30	8.11	34	7.78
Investor	1	7.14	2	3.77	3	0.81	6	1.37
Other	3	21.43	5	9.43	258	69.73	266	60.87
None	1	7.14	12	22.64	25	6.76	38	8.70
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>100.00</b>



Table 2 shows the profile of the respondents in terms of their entrepreneurial activity. It gives a full picture of how they have participated in different entrepreneurial programs and initiatives.

Most respondents participated in 2–3 entrepreneurial programs annually (51.03%), making this the most common level of involvement. Very few joined more than 10 programs (2.52%). Administrators (64.29%), faculty (45.28%), and students (51.35%) all showed similar trends.

In terms of the type of programs, the majority joined workshops, conferences, and lectures (79.41%), followed by research projects (57.67%) and competitions (40.73%). Only a small percentage engaged in incubator programs (1.14%).

Regarding the extent of involvement, most respondents were occasionally involved (59.27%), while only 9.84% were actively involved. Administrators showed the highest active participation (57.14%), compared to faculty (22.64%) and students (6.22%).

For entrepreneurial experience outside the institution, more than half (52.86%) reported having only one experience, while few had four or more. Administrators reported higher experience levels (35.71% with 4–6 experiences) compared to students, who mostly had one (57.57%).

In terms of the nature of entrepreneurial experience, the majority fell under “Other” activities (60.87%), particularly small-scale selling during school events. Business ownership was more common among administrators (42.86%) and faculty (56.60%), while very few respondents were start-up founders (0.92%).

Overall, the data suggested that while administrators and faculty often had more substantial entrepreneurial backgrounds, students primarily engaged in small, short-term ventures linked to academic activities.

*Table 2. Level of Entrepreneurship Practices in Private HEIs in Region 1*

Statement	Admin		Faculty		Students		As a whole	
	M	DR	M	DR	M	DR	M	DR
A. Leadership and Governance	3.79	H	3.67	H	3.86	H	3.84	H
B. Organizational Capacity: Funding, People, and Incentives	3.68	H	3.34	M	3.69	H	3.65	H
C. Entrepreneurial Teaching and Learning	3.79	H	3.27	M	3.78	H	3.72	H
D. Preparing and Supporting Entrepreneurs	3.75	H	3.25	M	3.60	H	3.56	H
E. Digital Transformation and Capability	3.93	H	3.49	H	3.67	H	3.66	H
F. Knowledge Exchange and Collaboration	3.65	H	3.16	M	3.65	H	3.59	H
G. The Internationalized Institution	3.72	H	3.31	M	3.37	M	3.37	M
H. Measuring Impact	3.81	H	3.61	H	3.67	H	3.67	H
<b>Grand Mean</b>	<b>3.76</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>3.39</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>3.66</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>3.63</b>	<b>H</b>

Table 2 summarizes the level of entrepreneurship practices among private HEIs in Region 1.

The overall mean rating of 3.63, which falls under the High descriptive rating, indicates that these institutions effectively implement entrepreneurship practices that all stakeholders, including administrators, faculty, and students, positively perceive.

The study assessed entrepreneurship practices across eight dimensions of the HEInnovate framework. Overall, the results showed that private HEIs in Region 1 demonstrated a high level of entrepreneurship practices, though gaps remained in funding, faculty engagement, and internationalization.

Leadership and Governance was rated high with a mean rating of 3.84, with strong support in vision, strategic initiatives, and decision-making autonomy. This indicated that institutional leadership played an active role in promoting entrepreneurship.

Organizational Capacity also received a high rating with a mean of 3.65. Human resources were rated strongest, but faculty expressed concerns about limited financial support and infrastructure.

Entrepreneurial Teaching and Learning was generally high with a mean rating of 3.72. Administrators and students gave positive ratings, but faculty scored lower with a mean rating of

3.27 or Moderate, pointing to a need for greater faculty engagement in curriculum design and cross-disciplinary approaches.

Preparing and Supporting Entrepreneurs was rated high overall with a mean rating of 3.56. Administrators perceived strong support, while faculty and students noted weaker access to funding. Mentorship and networking opportunities were recognized as valuable but insufficiently developed.

Digital Transformation and Capability showed high alignment with entrepreneurship with a mean rating of 3.66. Administrators and students gave high ratings, but faculty reported moderate engagement with a mean rating of 3.49. Students valued online tools and e-learning platforms, though concerns about sustained training and integration persisted.

Knowledge Exchange and Collaboration overall mean of 3.59 which is described as High, implies that collaborative, entrepreneurial activities and external engagements are present and functional. Generally reflected high involvement in partnerships and research, consistent with international trends emphasizing external collaboration.

Internationalization was rated moderate overall with a mean rating of 3.37. Administrators perceived a high level of engagement of 3.72, but both faculty and students rated it as moderate. Cross-border learning and staff mobility were present but not fully integrated.

Measuring Impact scored high with a mean rating of 3.67, with strong practices in tracking entrepreneurial outcomes, sustainability, and performance indicators. Administrators again gave higher ratings than faculty and students, but all groups acknowledged positive impacts on teaching quality and institutional innovation.

*Table 3. Level of Enhanced Entrepreneurial Landscape in Private HEIs in Region 1*

Indicators	Admin		Faculty		As a Whole	
	M	DR	M	DR	M	DR
Resources	3.45	H	3.20	M	3.25	M
Curricula	3.32	M	3.42	H	3.40	M
Policy Systems	3.29	M	3.34	M	3.33	M
Student Support	3.20	M	3.28	M	3.26	M
Linkages	3.21	M	3.34	M	3.32	M
Workshops and Training	3.27	M	3.57	H	3.50	H
<b>Grand Mean</b>	<b>3.29</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>3.36</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>3.34</b>	<b>M</b>

Table 3. presents a summary of the level of enhanced entrepreneurial landscape. A consolidated view of faculty and administrator perceptions across six core indicators: Resources, Curricula, Policies and Systems, Student Support, Linkages, and Workshops and Training. The overall mean rating for the enhanced entrepreneurial landscape was as 3.34 or Moderate, indicating that while entrepreneurship was integrated into institutional initiatives, its execution

required further strengthening. Faculty rated the landscape slightly higher of 3.36 than administrators of 3.29, suggesting greater optimism among academic staff.

Among the six indicators, Workshops and Training was rated highest mean of 3.50 or High, showing that experiential learning opportunities were relatively well-supported. Resources was the lowest-rated with a mean of 3.25 or Moderate, highlighting persistent gaps in financial, human, and infrastructural support for entrepreneurship.

Curricula was rated with a mean of 3.40 or Moderate to High reflected steady progress in embedding entrepreneurship into academic programs. Policies and Systems rated as 3.33 or Moderate) and Student Support rated with a mean of 3.26 or Moderate indicated institutional structures were in place but not fully developed. Linkages was rated with a mean of 3.32 or Moderate suggested that partnerships with alumni, industry, and external stakeholders were still limited.

Overall, the entrepreneurial landscape in Region 1 private HEIs was moderately developed, with strengths in workshops and curriculum integration but constrained by weak resources, limited student support, and underdeveloped linkages.

*Table 4. Barriers Facing Private HEIs in Region 1*

Barriers	Admin		Faculty		As a Whole	
	M	DR	M	DR	M	DR
1. Lack of Sustainable Funding	3.49	H	3.78	H	3.72	H
2. Limited Awareness About the Importance of Entrep	3.07	M	3.52	H	3.43	H
3. Insufficient Qualified Faculty or Mentors	2.99	M	3.59	H	3.47	H
4. Weak Networks with External Stakeholders	3.34	M	3.37	M	3.37	M
<b>Grand Mean</b>	<b>3.12</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>3.48</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>M</b>

Table 47 presents the summary of the extent of barriers facing private HEIs in Region 1 when implementing entrepreneurial practices.

The overall mean rating for barriers was 3.41 or Moderate, with faculty perceiving challenges more strongly of 3.48 or High than administrators of 3.12 or Moderate.

The lack of sustainable funding emerged as the most significant barrier with a mean rating of 3.72 or High, reflecting the need for consistent financial support to sustain entrepreneurial programs, mentorship, and incubation activities.

Limited awareness about entrepreneurship was rated High by faculty of 3.52 but only Moderate by administrators with a mean rating of 3.07, suggesting that educators observed more gaps in student engagement and institutional culture than leaders recognized. Insufficient qualified faculty or mentors was another major concern for faculty with a mean rating of 3.59 or High, while administrators perceived it as less pressing with a mean rating of 2.99 or Moderate.

This indicated a need for professional development and institutional investment in mentorship capacity.

Weak external networks was rated Moderate 3.37, showing that while partnerships exist, they were not strong or strategic enough to support entrepreneurship at scale. Respondents emphasized the need for deeper collaboration with industry, alumni, and local governments.

Qualitative responses reinforced these findings, highlighting fragmented partnerships, limited mentorship programs, and regulatory constraints as additional barriers. Stakeholders stressed the importance of *incubators, competitions, and stronger linkages with external partners* to enhance real-world entrepreneurial exposure.

Private HEIs in Region 1 faced barriers primarily in funding, faculty capacity, and weak networks, with faculty perceiving these challenges more acutely than administrators.

*Table 5. Relationship Between the Enhanced Entrepreneurial Landscape and Entrepreneurship Practices*

Entrepreneurship Practices	Enhanced Entrepreneurial Landscape						
	Resources	Curricula	Policy systems	Student Support	Linkages	Workshops and Training	Overall
A - Leadership and Governance	.650**	.644**	.605**	.707**	.578**	.596**	.659**
B - Organizational Capacity: Funding, People, and Incentives	.720**	.670**	.659**	.672**	.576**	.555**	.671**
C - Entrepreneurial Teaching and Learning	.776**	.782**	.706**	.722**	.681**	.728**	.765**
D - Preparing and Supporting Entrepreneurs	.755**	.703**	.701**	.702**	.681**	.623**	.727**
E - Digital Transformation and Capability	.831**	.776**	.742**	.769**	.757**	.733**	.804**
F - Knowledge Exchange and Collaboration	.690**	.659**	.594**	.635**	.606**	.576**	.656**
G - The Internationalized Institution	.856**	.829**	.808**	.807**	.786**	.768**	.847**
H - Measuring Impact	.837**	.785**	.753**	.783**	.770**	.763**	.819**
<b>Overall</b>	<b>.832**</b>	<b>.795**</b>	<b>.757**</b>	<b>.786**</b>	<b>.741**</b>	<b>.729**</b>	<b>.809**</b>



Table 5 presents the correlation between the level of enhanced entrepreneurial landscape within private HEIs and the entrepreneurship practices among private HEIs in Region 1.

The findings revealed a significant positive relationship between the enhanced entrepreneurial landscape and entrepreneurship practices in private HEIs in Region 1, with correlation values ranging from  $r = .578$  to  $.856$  ( $p < .05$ ). Institutions with stronger resources, curricula, policies, student support, linkages, and training opportunities exhibited more robust entrepreneurial practices. Among the HEInnovate dimensions, the Internationalized Institution showed the strongest correlation ( $r = .847$ ), particularly with curricula, policy systems, and student support, indicating that HEIs with active global linkages were more effective in embedding entrepreneurship. Similarly, strong correlations were observed for Digital Transformation and Capability ( $r = .804$ ) and Measuring Impact ( $r = .819$ ), emphasizing the growing role of digital infrastructure and systematic evaluation in supporting entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurial Teaching and Learning ( $r = .765$ ) and Preparing and Supporting Entrepreneurs ( $r = .727$ ) reinforced the value of pedagogy, mentorship, and co-curricular initiatives, while Organizational Capacity highlighted the importance of internal resources and institutional policies. Although slightly weaker, Knowledge Exchange and Collaboration still showed meaningful associations, underscoring the importance of external partnerships in fostering innovation. Overall, the findings confirmed that a well-developed entrepreneurial landscape strongly supports entrepreneurship practices, particularly when linked with internationalization, digital transformation, and collaborative networks.

Table 6. Correlation between the Profile of the Respondents and their Level of Entrepreneurship Practices.

Respondents Profile	Entrepreneurship Practices								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Overall
<b># of Entrep program</b>	-0.079	-.102*	-0.086	-.145**	-0.044	-0.038	-.138**	-0.068	-.099*
<b>Type of Program or Initiative</b>									
Workshops/Conference/Lectures	-0.028	-0.030	-0.094	-0.032	-0.071	-0.064	0.030	0.017	-0.034
Competition	-0.057	-0.069	-0.024	-0.043	-0.027	-0.003	-0.081	-0.050	-0.050
Incubator Program	0.048	0.061	0.004	0.047	0.054	0.024	0.061	0.042	0.048
Mentorship Program	0.041	-0.075	-0.027	-0.081	0.002	0.011	-.150**	-0.049	-0.052
Research Projects	.164**	.116*	.117*	.139**	.129**	.133**	.104*	.159**	.143**
Other	-.226**	-.328**	-.198**	-.362**	-.215**	-.226**	-.449**	-.302**	-.325**
None	-.098*	-0.067	-0.064	-0.023	-0.067	-0.031	0.004	-0.053	-0.051
<b>Involvement in Entrepreneurial Act</b>	-.166**	-.116*	-0.091	-0.052	-0.037	-0.024	-0.066	-0.088	-0.084
<b>Entrep Exp outside</b>	.206**	.170**	.120*	.181**	.145**	.109*	.212**	.201**	.185**
<b>Nature entrep experience</b>	0.072	0.000	-0.001	0.008	0.022	-0.017	-0.022	0.015	0.007

Table 6 presents the correlation between the Profile of the Respondents and their Level of Entrepreneurship Practices. The correlation analysis revealed mixed but insightful patterns between respondents' profiles and their entrepreneurship practices in private HEIs in Region 1. The number of entrepreneurial programs showed a weak yet significant negative correlation ( $r = -0.099$ ,  $p < .05$ ), indicating that more programs did not necessarily translate into stronger practices. Entrepreneurial experience outside the institution demonstrated a positive correlation ( $r = .185$ ,  $p < .01$ ), confirming that real-world exposure enhanced student engagement in school-based entrepreneurship, consistent with Li & Wang (2020) and Kreitzer et al. (2024). However, a negative correlation between student involvement in entrepreneurial activities and institutional practices suggested a disconnect, as active students may feel institutional support does not meet their needs, echoing Bayley (2024) and Justo et al. (2021). For faculty and administrators, no significant correlations were observed, aligning with studies by Abdurrahman (2025), Gu (2025), and Argente García et al. (2024), which emphasize systemic barriers, lack of incentives, and limited policy integration. Finally, the nature of entrepreneurial experience did not significantly



affect practices across all groups, supporting Mofijur et al. (2021), who noted that entrepreneurial exposure does not always directly influence institutional practices.

## **Discussion**

### ***Interpretation of Findings***

The findings revealed that private HEIs in Region 1 demonstrated a high level of entrepreneurship practices across dimensions such as leadership, governance, teaching and learning, and digital transformation. However, the enhanced entrepreneurial landscape was rated only moderate, reflecting gaps in resources, student support, and institutional linkages. Students and faculty reported active participation in workshops and lectures, but incubator programs and mentorship opportunities were less common. Barriers such as limited sustainable funding, insufficient qualified mentors, and weak external networks further constrained the effectiveness of entrepreneurial initiatives. Importantly, correlations confirmed that stronger entrepreneurial ecosystems were positively linked to more effective practices, particularly in areas such as digital transformation, measuring impact, and internationalization. Yet, the profile analysis suggested that institutional practices were not always aligned with students' entrepreneurial experiences, pointing to a disconnect between academic support structures and student-driven initiatives.

### ***Comparison to Existing Studies***

These results are consistent with the HEInnovate Framework (Zheng et al., 2025) and the Entrepreneurial University Model (Da Costa & Miragaia, 2024), both of which highlight leadership, resources, and international linkages as critical drivers of entrepreneurship in higher education. *Similar* to Bayley (2024) and Justo et al. (2021), this study found that while entrepreneurial education is present, institutions often fall short in providing the practical, resource-based support needed by students. The positive role of entrepreneurial experience outside the institution aligns with Li & Wang (2020) and Kreitzer et al. (2024), who emphasized the value of real-world exposure. The weak networks identified mirror findings by Gupta & Sharma (2021) and Zuo et al. (2025), who argued that collaboration with industry and government is vital for building a robust entrepreneurial ecosystem. Furthermore, faculty's lower ratings of entrepreneurial teaching reflect earlier observations by Birani-Nasraddin et al. (2024) about gaps in faculty development and engagement in entrepreneurship education.

### ***Implications for Practice and Policy***

The study suggests that private HEIs in Region 1 need to strengthen resource allocation, particularly in funding, mentorship, and infrastructure for entrepreneurship. Policies such as sustainable funding mechanisms, digital transformation initiatives, and inclusive mentorship networks can enhance entrepreneurial outcomes. Strengthening alumni and industry linkages can also provide additional resources, internships, and start-up support, aligning with the Triple Helix model's call for university–industry–government collaboration. Faculty development programs should be prioritized to bridge gaps in entrepreneurial pedagogy and cross-disciplinary engagement. For students, embedding entrepreneurship across all disciplines—not just business—could foster broader entrepreneurial mindsets and innovation. At the policy level, CHED and local government agencies should support initiatives that promote experiential



learning, incubators, and cross-border collaborations to raise Region 1's HEIs to globally competitive standards.

### *Study Limitations*

This study faced several limitations. First, the sample size, though adequate, was limited to private HEIs in Region 1, restricting the generalizability of results to public institutions or other regions. Second, the reliance on self-reported data may have introduced bias, particularly in participants' assessments of their institutions' entrepreneurial practices. Third, while both quantitative and qualitative data were collected, the qualitative insights were limited to informal interviews, which may not fully capture the complexities of institutional challenges. Finally, the study did not longitudinally track changes in entrepreneurial practices, making it difficult to assess the sustainability of identified initiatives. Future research should employ long-term, multi-regional studies and incorporate perspectives from industry and government stakeholders to provide a more holistic view of entrepreneurship in higher education.

### **Conclusion**

Drawing from comprehensive data gathered from administrators, faculty, and students, the following conclusions were drawn based on the significant findings of the research:

1. The Administrators, Faculty and Students participated in 2–3 entrepreneurial programs annually, attended workshops, conferences and lectures, were occasionally involved in entrepreneurship activities, have entrepreneurial experiences outside the institutions and have involvement to other entrepreneurial activities.
2. The entrepreneurship practices in private HEIs in Region 1 is at High level.
3. The level of enhanced entrepreneurial landscape is at a Moderate level across private HEIs in Region 1.
4. Key barriers included a lack of sustainable funding, limited qualified mentors, low awareness of entrepreneurship's importance, and weak networks with external stakeholders.
5. A significant and positive relationship was found between the enhanced entrepreneurial landscape and the level of entrepreneurship practices along Leadership and Governance, Organizational Capacity: Funding, People, and Incentives, Entrepreneurial Teaching and Learning, Preparing and Supporting Entrepreneurs, Digital Transformation and Capability, Knowledge Exchange and Collaboration, Internationalized Institution and Measuring Impact.
6. There is a significant relationship between the level of entrepreneurship practices and the profile of the respondents along number of entrepreneurial programs or initiatives participated, type of programs or initiative participated extent of involvement in entrepreneurial activities, entrepreneurial experience outside the institution.
7. The proposed policies for Region 1's private HEIs aim to create a more supportive and forward-thinking environment for student and faculty entrepreneurs. The Digital Transformation



Policy focuses on equipping schools with the tools, training, and infrastructure needed to bring entrepreneurship into the digital age. The Sustainable Funding Policy addresses the need for consistent financial support, helping start-ups and innovation projects grow through accessible funding and clear support systems. Meanwhile, the Inclusive Support Policy ensures that all students, regardless of background, have access to mentorship, resources, and opportunities to explore entrepreneurship. Together, these policies work toward building a more innovative, inclusive, and future-ready entrepreneurial ecosystem in the region.

### *Recommendations*

Grounded in the findings and conclusions of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to advance entrepreneurship practices further and enhance the entrepreneurial landscape among private Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Region 1:

1. To enhance entrepreneurial involvement among stakeholder groups, schools may establish and formalize entrepreneurial programs tailored expressly for students, a significant number of whom presently participate in informal entrepreneurial endeavors. These initiatives may encompass organized internships, university-backed start-up competitions, and entrepreneurship immersion programs. Furthermore, specialized faculty development programs may be advised to provide academic personnel with the essential skills to participate effectively in entrepreneurial endeavors. Administrators, already demonstrating elevated engagement levels, may be enabled to promote cross-functional collaborations that link students, faculty, and industry partners, so strengthening a culture of institutional entrepreneurship.

2. In light of the rating discrepancies among students, administrators, and faculty—especially the lower ratings from faculty—higher education institutions may consider reassessing and enhancing institutional frameworks that promote faculty involvement in entrepreneurship. This may entail awarding incentives for research connected to entrepreneurship, including entrepreneurial success metrics into faculty evaluation systems, and granting release time for engagement in entrepreneurial initiatives. Leadership and governance frameworks may be restructured to facilitate inclusive decision-making processes that involve all stakeholders in the formulation and execution of entrepreneurial policies and practices. To improve entrepreneurship practices among internationalized institutions in Region 1, private HEIs may strengthen global partnerships and networks by establishing collaborative research projects, exchange programs, and joint ventures with international universities and industry leaders. Comprehensive faculty and staff development programs, including training on international teaching methodologies and entrepreneurial thinking, should support this effort. Additionally, HEIs must promote international student and staff mobility by providing financial support, scholarships, and dedicated offices for study-abroad programs. Integrating global entrepreneurship into the curriculum through real-world case studies, digital skills training, and global market analysis can further enhance student readiness for the international business environment. Finally, leveraging digital platforms for virtual exchanges and global collaboration will help reduce barriers to participation and align institutions with the demands of a technology-driven global economy.

3. To build a stronger entrepreneurial environment, institutions may improve access to resources and spaces where students and faculty may develop their ideas, while ensuring entrepreneurship



is meaningfully integrated across all disciplines. Policies should not only exist on paper but also be translated into real opportunities and incentives that encourage innovation. Students may benefit from more financial support, mentorship, and peer-driven initiatives that give them confidence to pursue their ventures. At the same time, deeper collaborations with industries, alumni, and international partners may open doors to funding, exposure, and practical learning. By sustaining effective training programs and addressing gaps in resources, policies, and support, private HEIs in Region 1 may create a thriving entrepreneurial culture that empowers graduates to become not only job seekers but also job creators and contributors to community and national development.

4. To effectively tackle systemic barriers such as inadequate funding, limited mentorship, and weak stakeholder networks, higher education institutions may implement a comprehensive strategic resource mobilization framework. This strategy entails diversifying funding sources via specific government grants, private-sector alliances, philanthropic donations, and global partnerships. Moreover, investing in capacity-building projects is essential to enhance the qualifications and skills of teachers and mentors engaged in entrepreneurial education, thereby ensuring their ability to effectively mentor budding entrepreneurs. Concurrently, institutions ought to focus awareness campaigns, seminars, and workshops that underscore the strategic significance of entrepreneurship, cultivating a cohesive vision among academics, administrative personnel, and students. To augment stakeholder engagement, higher education institutions may form advisory boards, conduct regular industry discussions, and initiate collaborative projects that link academic proficiency with real-world innovation leaders, thereby fostering a dynamic ecosystem for entrepreneurial advancement and influence.

5. In recognition of the strong positive correlation between the entrepreneurial landscape and entrepreneurship practices, HEIs may focus on holistic institutional transformation. This includes the strategic alignment of entrepreneurship-related goals with institutional missions, as well as the continuous refinement of curricula, policies, and support systems. Internationalization strategies—such as faculty and student exchange programs, joint research initiatives, and global networking—may be expanded to enhance institutional capacity. Additionally, the utilization of assessment frameworks such as HEInnovate may be institutionalized to guide evidence-based planning, monitor implementation, and benchmark progress in entrepreneurship development.

6. Given the limited correlations between the profile and entrepreneurial engagement, HEIs may be encouraged to create equitable systems that enable participation across all stakeholder groups, regardless of prior experience or background. This underscores the necessity of institutional rather than individual interventions. For students, experiential learning opportunities may be systematically embedded into academic programs, ensuring that real-world entrepreneurial exposure is part of the educational process. Faculty and administrators may benefit from policy environments that actively support their involvement, such as through capacity-building initiatives, role-specific entrepreneurship training, and opportunities for applied entrepreneurial practice.



7. Institutions may adopt the crafted policy on Digital Transformation for Entrepreneurial Capability, Sustainable Funding for Start-Up and Innovation Initiatives and Inclusive Entrepreneurial Support for Students.
8. Further studies will be conducted along with longitudinal approaches to assess the long-term impact of entrepreneurship practices among private higher education institutions in Region 1.

**REFERENCES**

- Abdurrahman, A. (2025). Examining the impact of digital transformation on digital product innovation performance in banking industry through the integration of resource-based view and dynamic capabilities. *Journal of Strategy & Innovation*, 36(1), 200540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsinno.2025.200540>
- Abo-Khalil, A. (2024). Resource-based view in entrepreneurship: The organization and utilization of resources for entrepreneurial success. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Resource Management*, 30(2), 112-126.
- Aborisade, R., Adeoye, A., & Bakare, D. (2024). Radical innovation and its role in entrepreneurship: Creating new markets and transformative impact. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Technological Innovation*, 42(1), 105-119.
- Adeyemi, S. L., & Adeyinka, T. (2020). The impact of resource availability on entrepreneurship education in Nigerian private universities. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 23(2), 1-15.
- Afuye, G., Johnson, D., & Oluwaseun, A. (2024). Leveraging social capital to strengthen entrepreneurial ecosystems: External collaborations and networks in higher education institutions. *Journal of Social Capital and Entrepreneurship*, 28(3), 145-159.
- Al-Atabi, M., & Kamarudin, S. (2017). Enhancing entrepreneurship education through industry-academia linkages: A Malaysian perspective. *Journal of Business and Social Science Research*, 2(1), 15-24.
- Al Issa, H.-E., Thai, M. T. T., & Nguyen, H. (2024). A systematic mapping of social entrepreneurship education: A call for increased collaboration, ethics, and research frameworks. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(3), 101025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.101025>
- Aidara, S., Mamun, A. A., Nasir, N. A. M., Mohiuddin, M., Nawi, N. C., & Zainol, N. R. (2021). Competitive Advantages of the Relationship between Entrepreneurial Competencies and Economic Sustainability Performance. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 864. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020864>
- Alakaleek, M., Saad, R., & Khaled, A. (2023). Self-efficacy in entrepreneurial education: The role of belief in one's capability to achieve entrepreneurial success. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Educational Development*, 31(2), 132-145.
- Aldrich, H. E., Brumana, M., Campopiano, G., & Minola, T. (2021). Embedded but not asleep: Entrepreneurship and family business research in the 21st century. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 12(1), 100390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfbs.2020.100390>

- Ali, A., & Nienaber, A. M. (2022). Barriers to entrepreneurial university transformation: Policy and leadership perspectives. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Education*, 25(3), 45–63.
- Almeida, A., & Garrod, B. (2024). Drivers and inhibitors of entrepreneurship in Europe's Outermost Regions: Implications for entrepreneurship education. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(2), 100975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.100975>
- Alterskye, A., Fuller, T., & Caputo, A. (2025). Field dynamics and fields of entrepreneurial practice: Autonomizing process, self-help doxa, and homological action. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 41(1), 101393. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scaman.2024.101393>
- Amini Sedeh, A., Pezeshkan, A., & Caiazza, R. (2022). Innovative entrepreneurship in emerging and developing economies: The effects of entrepreneurial competencies and institutional voids. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 47(4), 1198–1223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-021-09874-1>
- Anderson, K., & Lidow, D. (2025). Learning from design: The potential of entrepreneurial critique. *Journal of Business Venturing Design*, 4, 100027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbvd.2025.100027>
- Anglani, F., Pennetta, S., Reaiche, C., & Boyle, S. (2023). Crossing digital frontiers with cultural intelligence—A new paradigm for project managers. *International Journal of Project Management*, 41(8), 102543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2023.102543>
- Aragones, J., & Bitera, M. (2023). Developing innovative thinkers: The role of entrepreneurial universities in producing graduates who contribute to various fields. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Education*, 41(4), 212-225.
- Arya, V., Gaurav, A., Gupta, B. B., & Chui, K. T. (2024). A bibliometric analysis of environmental education and sustainable entrepreneurship development in a global perspective. *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 3(3), 100080. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stae.2024.100080>
- Augsberger, A., Collins, M. E., & Mohanty, S. (2025). Bridging success: How institutional adults can support system involved youth and young adults in pursuing post-secondary vocational education and career pathways. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 108282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2025.108282>

- Aurini, J., Heath, M., & Howley, P. (2021). Mixed methods research in education: Integrating qualitative and quantitative approaches for a comprehensive understanding. *Journal of Educational Research Methods*, 35(2), 101-115.
- Bachelani, R., & Soliman, S. (2025). The role of institutional support in fostering entrepreneurship in private higher education institutions in Region 1. *Journal of Educational Administration and Development*, 60(2), 134-146.
- Bachmann, N., Rose, R., Maul, V., & Hölzle, K. (2024). What makes for future entrepreneurs? The role of digital competencies for entrepreneurial intention. *Journal of Business Research*, 174, 114481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114481>
- Baig, M. I., & Yadegaridehkordi, E. (2023). Flipped classroom in higher education: A systematic literature review and research challenges. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00430-5>
- Ballesteros-Sola, M., & Magomedova, N. (2023). Impactful social entrepreneurship education: A US-Spanish service learning collaborative online international learning (COIL) project. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 21(3), 100866. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100866>
- Bärnreuther, M. (2023). Fostering entrepreneurial thinking in higher education institutions: The development of specialized academic programs for emerging fields. *Journal of Educational Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 29(3), 88-101.
- Barr, T. L., Malloch, K., Ackerman, M. H., Raderstorff, T., & Melnyk, B. M. (2021). A blueprint for nursing innovation centers. *Nursing Outlook*, 69(6), 969-981. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2021.05.006>
- Batz Liñeiro, A., Romero Ochoa, J. A., & Montes De La Barrera, J. (2024). Exploring entrepreneurial intentions and motivations: A comparative analysis of opportunity-driven and necessity-driven entrepreneurs. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00366-8>
- Bayley, M. (2024). Bridging the gap in entrepreneurship education: The need for practical business management skills in higher education. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education and Practice*, 41(3), 135-148.

Birani-Nasraddin, S., Rahman, Z., & Lee, K. (2024). The role of positive self-image and motivation in entrepreneurial purpose: Integrating personality development in higher education curricula. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Education and Development*, 32(2), 112-125.

Boiocchi, L., De Luca, C., & Ferrara, G. (2024). Building an entrepreneurial culture in higher education institutions: Encouraging creativity, risk-taking, and innovation. *Journal of Educational Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 42(3), 134-148.

Botha, M. (2020). Prior Entrepreneurial Exposure and Action of Women Entrepreneurs: Exploring the Moderation Effects of Entrepreneurial Competencies in a Developing Country Context. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 922. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00922>

Brooks, K. (2025). Entrepreneurial orientation and innovation in higher education institutions: Driving program development and external partnerships. *Journal of Higher Education Innovation and Leadership*, 42(3), 98-112.

Burrage, J., Thompson, K., & Patel, R. (2025). Entrepreneurial universities as dynamic systems: Mobilizing resources to fulfill the third mission and contribute to economic ecosystems. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Education and Regional Development*, 42(2), 134-147.

Bursztyn, L., Fujiwara, T., & Pallais, A. (2017). ‘Acting Wife’: Marriage Market Incentives and Labor Market Investments. *American Economic Review*, 107(11), 3288–3319. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20170029>

Burton, J., Green, P., & James, D. (2022). Entrepreneurship as the capacity to identify and seize opportunities: The role of dedication and time investment in venture creation. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Studies and Development*, 36(4), 213-226.

Campisi, M., Rossi, A., & Fabbri, L. (2024). Human capital theory in entrepreneurship: Investing in students, faculty, and staff to enhance entrepreneurial capacity. *Journal of Educational and Entrepreneurial Development*, 32(1), 68-81.

Campos-Blázquez, A., González, C., & Rivera, J. (2024). Learner-centered, mentor-supported approaches in entrepreneurial education: Strengthening students’ competence through experiential learning. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 41(3), 87-101.

- Cao, Y., & Wan, M. (2024). The relationship between corporate entrepreneurship and its competitiveness in China: The Moderating Effect of Employee Stock Ownership Plan. *Heliyon*, e38989. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e38989>
- Cardella, G. M., Barba-Sánchez, V., Meseguer-Martinez, Á., Hernández-Sánchez, B., & Sánchez-García, J. C. (2024). Analyzing university students' entrepreneurial intentions under the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 20(4), 2567–2590. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-024-00945-x>
- Cardella, G. M., Hernández Sánchez, B. R., De Miranda Justo, J. M. R., & Sánchez García, J. C. (2024). Understanding social entrepreneurial intention in higher education: Does gender and type of study matter? *Studies in Higher Education*, 49(4), 670–689. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2023.2250384>
- Castro-Gómez, R., Herrera, F., & Pérez, G. (2024). The role of resource organization in determining entrepreneurial success: Insights from the resource-based view. *Journal of Business and Entrepreneurship*, 41(1), 78-91.
- Çera, G., Belas, J., Maroušek, J., & Rozsa, Z. (2020). Participating in higher education and entrepreneurship: The case of students from selected European countries. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 12(4), 511–533. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-01-2020-0013>
- Chandra, A., Shukla, D. M., Sharma, S., & Dwivedi, G. (2024). Fostering environmentally sustainable business: Analysis of factors from entrepreneurial ecosystem perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 476, 143667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.143667>
- Chaudhry, I. S., Paquibut, R. Y., & Chabchoub, H. (2023). International entrepreneurship intention of Omani youths: An empirical causal approach. *Small Enterprise Research*, 30(3), 400–432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13215906.2023.2255578>
- Chen, D., Esperança, J. P., & Wang, S. (2022). The impact of artificial intelligence on firm performance: An application of the resource-based view to e-commerce firms. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 884830.
- Chen, Y., et al. (2022). The Role of Alumni Engagement in Fostering Entrepreneurial and Innovation Activities in Higher Education Institutions. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 25(1), 112-130.

- Chidukwani, O., Bello, R., & Olorunfemi, B. (2022). Innovation as a tool for challenging the status quo and fostering growth in established systems. *Journal of Innovation and Development*, 26(4), 124-138.
- Clarysse, B., Wright, M., & Lockett, A. (2023). The third mission of entrepreneurial universities: Expanding roles in societal and economic development through partnerships and start-up support. *Journal of Higher Education and Regional Development*, 41(1), 76-90.
- Claro, J., Hernandez, M., & Ramos, S. (2024). Exploring entrepreneurial avenues in Philippine private higher education institutions: Partnerships, innovation centers, and entrepreneurial programs. *Journal of Educational Entrepreneurship and Development*, 39(1), 92-105.
- Cornejo-León, L., Martínez, J., & Romero, P. (2024). Embracing digital transformation in higher education institutions: Facilitating collaboration, venture development, and future-ready entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Journal of Higher Education and Digital Innovation*, 33(1), 45-59.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Croft, L., & Reay, M. (2025). The Entrepreneurial University model: Fostering entrepreneurship, innovation, and community engagement through formal and informal activities. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Education and Development*, 34(2), 156-169.
- Da Costa, C. D. M., & Miragaia, D. A. M. (2024). Implementation of actions by higher education institutions to stimulate sport entrepreneurship. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport & Tourism Education*, 34, 100485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhlste.2024.100485>
- Dias, Á. L., Silva, R., Patuleia, M., Estêvão, J., & González-Rodríguez, M. R. (2022). Selecting lifestyle entrepreneurship recovery strategies: A response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 22(1), 115–121. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1467358421990724>
- Dickinson, J. K., Wentzel, J. A., Schwenk, J., & Ayala, L. A. (2024). Prevalence of nursing theory citations in non-nursing publications. *Nursing Outlook*, 72(6), 102303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2024.102303>
- European Commission & Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (EC-OECD). (2012). *Entrepreneurial universities: Creating innovative ecosystems for growth*. OECD Publications.

Evans-Agnew, R., Williams, J., & Holloway, J. (2024). Creative thinking in entrepreneurship education: Fostering innovation in rapidly changing industries. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurial Development*, 36(2), 118-130.

Fausey, C., Hall, S., & LeClerc, R. (2024). The role of digital transformation in fostering adaptive and innovative entrepreneurial ecosystems in HEIs. *Journal of Educational Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 38(2), 92-107.

Fazal, A., Solis, C., & Mendoza, E. (2022). Aligning academic goals with societal needs: The role of entrepreneurial universities in responding to labor market demands. *Journal of Educational and Economic Development*, 38(1), 89-101.

Feola, R., Crudele, C., & Celenta, R. (2024a). Developing cross-cultural competence in entrepreneurship education: What is the role of the university. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(3), 101055. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.101055>

Feola, R., Crudele, C., & Celenta, R. (2024b). Developing cross-cultural competence in entrepreneurship education: What is the role of the university. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(3), 101055. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.101055>

Flick, U. (2023). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative data collection* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Gabay, M., Reyes, L., & Lim, J. (2025). The role of technology transfer offices and incubators in fostering innovation and economic development in private higher education institutions. *Journal of Technology Transfer and Innovation*, 42(2), 123-136.

Garcia, M., & Martinez, E. (2021). Factors Influencing Entrepreneurial and Innovation Activities in Higher Education: A Case Study Approach. *Journal of Innovation in Higher Education*, 14(3), 112-130.

Gardim, L., Souza, A., & Lima, P. (2024). Fostering creative thinking and self-empowerment in entrepreneurship education: A broad approach to skills development. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation Education*, 37(2), 112-126.

Gazi, Md. A. I., Rahman, Md. K. H., Yusof, M. F., Masud, A. A., Islam, Md. A., Senathirajah, A. R. B. S., & Hossain, Md. A. (2024). Mediating role of entrepreneurial intention on the relationship between entrepreneurship education and employability: A study on university students from a developing country. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2294514. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2294514>

- González-Pernía, J. L., Peña-Legazkue, I., & Peña-Legazkue, M. (2019). The role of entrepreneurship education in enhancing students' entrepreneurial skills: Evidence from Spanish universities. *Education + Training*, 61(4), 482–497. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-03-2018-0053>
- Gottlieb, D., Thomas, H., & Nordin, F. (2024). The role of human capital in fostering innovation and driving entrepreneurial success in higher education institutions. *Journal of Innovation and Human Resource Development*, 37(2), 115-128.
- Gu, L. (2025). Utilizing faculty expertise, research skills, and innovation infrastructure in private higher education institutions to foster entrepreneurial growth. *Journal of Educational Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 38(1), 76-89.
- Gupta, A., & Sharma, R. (2021). The Role of Technology Transfer Offices in Promoting Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Higher Education Institutions: A Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 46(1), 112-130.
- Gupta, A., & Sharma, R. (2023). The Role of Gender Diversity in Entrepreneurial and Innovation Activities in Higher Education Institutions: A Comparative Study. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 38(1), 345-360.
- Gutuleac, A., Gicu, E., & Stan, L. (2025). The role of university support in fostering entrepreneurial intentions: Mentorship, resources, and networks for aspiring entrepreneurs. *Journal of Educational Entrepreneurship*, 42(1), 134-148.
- Guyennet, Y., Garry-Bruneau, M., Pennamen, P., Verrière, E., Maingard, C., & Dallaire, C. (2024). Proposal of a digital tool to develop clinical reasoning of novice nurses in psychiatry: An exploratory study in France. *Nurse Education Today*, 134, 106117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2024.106117>
- Haynes-Ferere, K., Evans, S., & Chen, L. (2024). Experiential learning in entrepreneurship education: Enhancing self-efficacy through real-world projects and simulations. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Learning and Innovation*, 40(1), 75-88.
- Henry, C., & Lahikainen, K. (2024). Exploring Intrapreneurial Activities in the Context of the Entrepreneurial University: An analysis of five EU HEIs. *Technovation*, 129, 102893. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2023.102893>

Hervás-Torres, M., Castillo, P., & García, R. (2024). Private higher education institutions as incubators of innovation and job creation: Aligning with national development goals for economic resilience. *Journal of Higher Education and Regional Development*, 41(2), 120-133.

Huynh, T., Le, V., & Nguyen, H. (2024). Financial innovation and resource management in higher education institutions: Building organizational capacity to support entrepreneurial activities. *Journal of Educational Resource Management*, 38(2), 105-118.

Jafari-Sadeghi, V., Kimiagari, S., & Biancone, P. P. (2019). Level of education and knowledge, foresight competency and international entrepreneurship: A study of human capital determinants in the European countries. *European Business Review*, 32(1), 46–68. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-05-2018-0098>

Jalil, M. F., Marikan, D. A. B. A., Ali, A., & Jais, M. B. (2025). The influence of blockchain technology on green entrepreneurial orientation through digital knowledge sharing among Gen Y and Gen Z entrepreneurs: A multigroup analysis. *Sustainable Futures*, 9, 100636. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.100636>

Johnson, E., & Carrington, J. M. (2023). Revisiting the nursing metaparadigm: Acknowledging technology as foundational to progressing nursing knowledge. *Nursing Inquiry*, 30(1), e12502. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nin.12502>

Jowsey, T., McGowan, A., & Struthers, A. (2021). Qualitative research in higher education: Exploring perceptions and practices in entrepreneurship education. *Journal of Qualitative Research in Education*, 28(3), 110-125.

Justo, R., Congregado, E., & Román, C. (2021). Becoming self-employed from inactivity: An in-depth analysis of satisfaction. *Small Business Economics*, 56(1), 145–187. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-019-00212-2>

Kallio, T. J., Harmaakorpi, V., & Pihkala, T. (2020). Barriers and enablers in academic entrepreneurship: A study of university-industry collaboration. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 160, 120234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120234>

Kalwij, A., Alessie, R., Dinkova, M., Schonewille, G., Van Der Schors, A., & Van Der Werf, M. (2019). The Effects of Financial Education on Financial Literacy and Savings Behavior:

Evidence from a Controlled Field Experiment in Dutch Primary Schools. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 53(3), 699–730. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12241>

Kaygan, O., & Kaygan, M. (2024). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and the role of higher education institutions in fostering innovation. *Journal of Innovation and Economic Development*, 32(1), 67-82.

Kiely, J. (2024). The role of entrepreneurial orientation in driving innovation and expanding the appeal of academic programs in higher education institutions. *Journal of Higher Education and Industry Collaboration*, 56(4), 120-134.

Kelly, G., & McAdam, M. (2023). Women Entrepreneurs Negotiating Identities in Liminal Digital Spaces. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 47(5), 1942–1970. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10422587221115363>

Khalil, H., Hashim, K. F., Rababa, M., & Atallah, S. (2024). Shaping the entrepreneurial mindset: Exploring the impact of entrepreneurship education on entrepreneurial intentions among university students in the UAE: The mediating role of individual entrepreneurial orientation. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 127, 102430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2024.102430>

Khan, E. A., Chowdhury, M. M. H., Royhan, P., Gowan, S., Rahman, M. M., & Mahdavi, M. (2024). A decision model for sustainable informal entrepreneurship in cities. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 16(3), 276–297. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCCSM-02-2022-0026>

Khan, M. A., Zubair, S. S., Rathore, K., Ijaz, M., Khalil, S., & Khalil, M. (2021). Impact of Entrepreneurial Orientation Dimensions on Performance of Small Enterprises: Do Entrepreneurial Competencies Matter? *Cogent Business & Management*, 8(1), 1943241. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.1943241>

Khan, S., & Hossain, M. (2019). Resource constraints in developing entrepreneurial ecosystems in universities: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 73(2), 180–199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12183>

Klarin, A., & Suseno, Y. (2021). A state-of-the-art review of the sharing economy: Scientometric mapping of the scholarship. *Journal of Business Research*, 126, 250–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.12.063>

Knees, M., Keniston, A., Yu, A., Sakumoto, M., Westergaard, S., Schwatka, N., Peterson, R., Kochar, A., Auerbach, A., Lee, T., & Burden, M. (2024). Academic hospitalist perspectives on the benefits and challenges of secure messaging: A mixed methods analysis. *Journal of Hospital Medicine*, jhm.13522. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.13522>

Kozlinska, I., Rebmann, A., & Mets, T. (2023). Entrepreneurial competencies and employment status of business graduates: The role of experiential entrepreneurship pedagogy. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 35(5), 724–761. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2020.1821159>

Kreitzer, D., Jackson, R., & Lee, T. (2024). Entrepreneurial education: Instilling an innovative mindset for problem-solving and system improvement. *Journal of Innovative Learning in Business Education*, 42(3), 150-162.

Kruger, S., & Steyn, A. A. (2021). A conceptual model of entrepreneurial competencies needed to utilise technologies of Industry 4.0. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 22(1), 56–67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1465750320927359>

Kumar, S., & Patel, R. (2020). The Influence of Regional Ecosystems on Entrepreneurial and Innovation Activities in Higher Education Institutions: A Comparative Analysis. *Regional Studies*, 54(3), 345-360.

Kundu, R. N., Bett, H. K., & Rao, E. J. O. (2024). Dairy input agri-entrepreneurs' practices and their effect on inputs market participation by smallholder farmers in Tanzania. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 15, 100992. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2024.100992>

Lallie, S., Singh, P., & Mehta, D. (2021). Incremental innovation: Gradual improvements to existing products and technologies. *Journal of Innovation and Market Development*, 29(2), 58-72.

Li, Y., & Wang, Q. (2020). The Impact of Entrepreneurial Education on Students' Entrepreneurial Intentions and Innovation Capacity: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 23(2), 1-15.

- Liguori, E. W., & Winkler, C. (2019). Entrepreneurship as a career choice: Intentions, attitudes, and outcome expectations. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 32(4), 311–331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2019.1600857>
- Lim, J. Y., Kim, G. M., & Kim, E. J. (2021). Predictors of Entrepreneurial Intention of Nursing Students Based on Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, Volume 14, 533–543. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S288532>
- Lloren-Alcantara, A., & Capistrano, R. (2025). Formal and informal entrepreneurial activities in entrepreneurial universities: Establishing structures and fostering a culture of innovation. *Journal of Innovation and Higher Education*, 37(1), 65-78.
- Lopes, A., Ferreira, J., & Silva, D. (2024). The entrepreneurial mindset: Encouraging initiative and proactive problem-solving in non-entrepreneurial careers. *Journal of Business and Organizational Innovation*, 45(3), 210-224.
- López-Núñez, M., García, R., & Sánchez, J. (2022). The role of industry partnerships in entrepreneurial universities: Bridging the gap between academia and industry for regional innovation and development. *Journal of Higher Education and Regional Development*, 40(3), 145-158.
- Luc, P. T. (2022). The relationships between Big-Five personality traits and social entrepreneurship intention. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1), 2137950. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2137950>
- Mahdi, A., Crick, D., Crick, J. M., Lamine, W., & Spence, M. (2024). Entrepreneurial marketing practices and rural wine producers' performance: The moderating role of competitive intensity in an immediate post crisis period. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 108, 103277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2024.103277>
- Malodia, S., Patel, S., & Kumar, R. (2023). Internationalization and its impact on entrepreneurial strategy: Enhancing global trust and reputation for higher education institutions. *Journal of Global Education and Entrepreneurship*, 40(3), 125-138.
- Manning, L., & Vavilov, A. (2023). The intersection of entrepreneurial orientation and industry partnerships in higher education institutions: Enhancing research, resources, and student experiences. *Journal of Higher Education and Industry Relations*, 38(1), 75-89.
- Marcíanová, P., & Pirožek, P. (2023). The entrepreneurial orientation of general practitioners—Current theory and practice in the Czech Republic. *Health Policy*, 131, 104765. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2023.104765>

Mavlutova, M., Sokolov, A., & Ivanov, K. (2025). Digital transformation in higher education: Enhancing entrepreneurial environments through technology-driven teaching and innovation. *Journal of Digital Education and Innovation*, 40(4), 111-124.

Meliou, E., & Ozbilgin, M. (2024). How is the Illusio of Gender Equality in Entrepreneurship Sustained? A Bourdieusian Perspective. *Journal of Management Studies*, 61(4), 1536–1561. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12930>

Mendoza-Villafaina, S., & López-Mosquera, N. (2024). External collaborations and networks in entrepreneurship: The role of social capital in bridging academic innovations and market realities. *Journal of Regional Development and Entrepreneurship*, 39(4), 220-234.

Mofijur, M., Islam, A., & Rahman, S. (2021). Post-COVID-19 initiatives and the emphasis on entrepreneurship education as a catalyst for economic recovery and employment generation in China and Spain. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Economic Development*, 38(3), 145-159.

Molavi, H., Shafiei, N., & Farahani, M. (2025). Effective financial management in higher education: Ensuring sustainable entrepreneurial environments through streamlined processes and increased funding. *Journal of Higher Education and Innovation*, 42(1), 98-110.

Monteagudo, D., García, J., & Sánchez, M. (2025). Entrepreneurship education as a tool for cultivating a proactive and opportunity-oriented mindset. *Journal of Educational Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 29(1), 68-81.

Mukherjee, S., Sharma, P., & Soni, S. (2025). Augmenting internal capacities of private HEIs: The role of resource optimization in fostering regional development and innovation. *Journal of Education and Regional Economic Development*, 33(4), 145-159.

Muldoon, J., Davis, P. E., Bendickson, J. S., McDowell, W. C., & Liguori, E. W. (2022). Paved with good intentions: Moral disengagement and social entrepreneurship. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 7(4), 100237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100237>

Nabi, G., Liñán, F., Fayolle, A., Krueger, N., & Walmsley, A. (2017). The impact of entrepreneurship education in higher education: A systematic review and research agenda.

- Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 16(2), 277–299.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2015.0026>
- Neck, H. M., Greene, P. G., & Brush, C. G. (2014). *Teaching entrepreneurship: A practice-based approach*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Neneh, B. N., & Dzomonda, O. (2024). Transitioning from entrepreneurial intention to actual behavior: The role of commitment and locus of control. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(2), 100964. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.100964>
- Nikitina, T., Lapiņa, I., Ozoliņš, M., Irbe, M. M., Priem, M., Smits, M., & Nemilentsev, M. (2020). Competences for Strengthening Entrepreneurial Capabilities in Europe. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 6(3), 62. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6030062>
- Nguyen, T. H., & Le, Q. T. (2020). Institutional barriers to university entrepreneurship in Vietnam: The role of culture and governance. *Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 14(2), 203–217. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJIE-09-2019-0071>
- Obschonka, M., Müller, C., & Stuetzer, M. (2023). Entrepreneurial orientation in private higher education institutions: A catalyst for regional development and innovation. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 35(4), 214-227.
- Onjewu, A.-K. E., Haddoud, M. Y., & Nowiński, W. (2021). The effect of entrepreneurship education on nascent entrepreneurship. *Industry and Higher Education*, 35(4), 419–431. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09504222211014038>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (2023). *HeInnovate: Encouraging Entrepreneurship through Higher Education*.
- O'Reilly-Jacob, M., Thompson, L., & Foster, J. (2024). Adopting entrepreneurial orientation principles in private higher education institutions: Responding to evolving educational and economic demands. *Journal of Higher Education and Innovation*, 48(2), 101-113.
- Pan, S., Hafez, B., Iskandar, A., & Ming, Z. (2024). Integrating constructivist principles in an adaptive hybrid learning system for developing social entrepreneurship education among college students. *Learning and Motivation*, 87, 102023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lmot.2024.102023>
- Pan, Y., Zhang, S., & Zhang, M. (2024). The impact of entrepreneurship of farmers on agriculture and rural economic growth: Innovation-driven perspective. *Innovation and Green Development*, 3(1), 100093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2023.100093>

Parkinson, C. (2025). What are we doing here?! Deprivation, family manoeuvres and a microbusiness 'on the edge.' *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 37(3–4), 412–432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08985626.2024.2410461>

Pekerti, A. A., Van De Vijver, F. J. R., Moeller, M., Okimoto, T. G., & Edwards, M. R. (2021). A peer mentoring social learning perspective of cross-cultural adjustment: The rapid-accluturation mateship program. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 84, 276–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2021.08.010>

Penadés-Blasco, L., García-Pérez, A., & Ríos, M. (2024). Measuring the impact of entrepreneurial education: The relationship between formal entrepreneurial education and business intentions. *Journal of Educational Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 39(1), 112-124.

Petropoulos, F., Apiletti, D., Assimakopoulos, V., Babai, M. Z., Barrow, D. K., Ben Taieb, S., Bergmeir, C., Bessa, R. J., Bijak, J., Boylan, J. E., Browell, J., Carnevale, C., Castle, J. L., Cirillo, P., Clements, M. P., Cordeiro, C., Cyrino Oliveira, F. L., De Baets, S., Dokumentov, A., ... Ziel, F. (2022). Forecasting: Theory and practice. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 38(3), 705–871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2021.11.001>

Phelan, T., O'Connor, M., & Quinn, S. (2023). The role of entrepreneurial activity in enhancing innovation: Promoting risk-taking, exploration, and market engagement. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurial Studies*, 34(2), 121-135.

Raimi, L., Panait, M., Gigauri, I., & Apostu, S. (2023). Thematic Review of Motivational Factors, Types of Uncertainty, and Entrepreneurship Strategies of Transitional Entrepreneurship among Ethnic Minorities, Immigrants, and Women Entrepreneurs. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 16(2), 83. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16020083>

Ramsgaard, M. B., & Blenker, P. (2022). Reinterpreting a signature pedagogy for entrepreneurship education. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 29(2), 182–202. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-03-2021-0115>

Rasmussen, E., Wright, M., & Mathisen, M. (2018). The Impact of University Incubators on Entrepreneurial Success: Evidence from a Longitudinal Study. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 42(6), 931-957.

Rasmussen, E., & Sørheim, R. (2006). Universities and entrepreneurship: An empirical analysis of the effectiveness of entrepreneurship support at Norwegian universities. *Technovation*, 26(2), 365-371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2005.02.003>



Ravenelle, A., Thompson, R., & Dillard, J. (2022). The competitive edge of innovation in entrepreneurship: New product development and organizational improvements. *Journal of Business Innovation*, 41(3), 98-112.

Repenning, A., & Oechslen, A. (2023). Creative digipreneurs: Artistic entrepreneurial practices in platform-mediated space. *Digital Geography and Society*, 4, 100058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diggeo.2023.100058>

Rojas, F., & Sánchez, M. (2023). Enhancing university-industry collaboration for entrepreneurship: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 48, 101–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-022-09922-4>

Rosca, E., Agarwal, N., & Brem, A. (2020). Women entrepreneurs as agents of change: A comparative analysis of social entrepreneurship processes in emerging markets. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 157, 120067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120067>

Ryan, C. L., Cant, R., McAllister, M. M., Vanderburg, R., & Batty, C. (2022). Transformative learning theory applications in health professional and nursing education: An umbrella review. *Nurse Education Today*, 119, 105604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105604>

Saif, M., Khan, S., & Ali, R. (2024). The role of higher education institutions in regional economic development: Promoting technology transfer and supporting spin-off enterprises through collaboration with industry and government. *Journal of Higher Education and Economic Growth*, 37(3), 155-169.

Sakib, Md. N., Rabbani, M. R., Hawaldar, I. T., Jabber, M. A., Hossain, J., & Sahabuddin, M. (2022). Entrepreneurial Competencies and SMEs' Performance in a Developing Economy. *Sustainability*, 14(20), 13643. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013643>

Saldaña, J. (2021). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Salmony, F. U., & Kanbach, D. K. (2022). Personality trait differences across types of entrepreneurs: A systematic literature review. *Review of Managerial Science*, 16(3), 713–749. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-021-00466-9>

San-Martín, P., Fernández-Laviada, A., Pérez, A., & Palazuelos, E. (2021). The teacher of entrepreneurship as a role model: Students' and teachers' perceptions. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 19(1), 100358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2019.100358>

San-Martín, P., Pérez, A., & Fernández-Laviada, A. (2022). Turning teachers into entrepreneurship role models: Development of a measurement scale of useful characteristics. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 20(3), 100721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2022.100721>

Santos, A., & Mota, J. (2020). Entrepreneurship education and experiential learning in Portuguese universities. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 27(6), 953–969. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-12-2019-0412>

Schmidt, H. M., Reyes-Sarmiento, M. E., & Santamaria-Alvarez, S. M. (2024). Raising a start-up with the ecosystem: A practice perspective on support within entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 40(4), 101343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scaman.2024.101343>

Sebestyén, M., Kovács, P., & Tóth, R. (2024). Embedding entrepreneurship into the institutional DNA: The role of support mechanisms and culture in higher education. *Journal of Innovation and Higher Education*, 39(1), 102-116.

Senali, M. G., Iranmanesh, M., Ghobakhloo, M., Gengatharen, D., Tseng, M.-L., & Nilsashi, M. (2022). Flipped classroom in business and entrepreneurship education: A systematic review and future research agenda. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 20(1), 100614. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2022.100614>

Şenyuva, E., Özdemir, G., & Yılmaz, S. (2025). Internal and external factors influencing entrepreneurial success in higher education institutions: The role of institutional support and partnerships. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 39(3), 202-215.

Seraj, A. H. A., Fazal, S. A., & Alshebami, A. S. (2022). Entrepreneurial Competency, Financial Literacy, and Sustainable Performance—Examining the Mediating Role of Entrepreneurial Resilience among Saudi Entrepreneurs. *Sustainability*, 14(17), 10689. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710689>

Shafran-Tikva, S., Cohen, D., & Barak, M. (2025). Facilitating technology transfer in higher education institutions: The role of entrepreneurial orientation in translating research into marketable products and services. *Journal of Technology and Innovation Management*, 40(1), 58-72.

Shankar, R. K., & Corbett, A. C. (2024). Quasipractice: How the entrepreneurship educator develops entrepreneurial practice expertise. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 39(6), 106435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2024.106435>

Shepherd, D., & Majchrzak, A. (2022). Adopting an entrepreneurial mindset in higher education: Enabling innovation and problem-solving in response to external challenges. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Education and Innovation*, 48(2), 114-128.

Shkabatur, J., Bar-El, R., & Schwartz, D. (2022). Innovation and entrepreneurship for sustainable development: Lessons from Ethiopia. *Progress in Planning*, 160, 100599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progress.2021.100599>

Shymko, Y., & Khoury, T. A. (2023). From community rootedness to individuated entrepreneuring: The development of entrepreneurial motivation through a temporary community of practice. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 38(3), 106300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2023.106300>

Slade Shantz, A., Zietsma, C., Kistruck, G. M., & Cruz, L. B. (2024). Exploring the relative efficacy of ‘within-logic contrasting’ and ‘cross-logic analogizing’ framing tactics for adopting new entrepreneurial practices in contexts of poverty. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 39(1), 106341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2023.106341>

Spivack, A. J., Lahti, T., Burström, T., & Wincent, J. (2025). Legitimacy perceptions amid institutional pluralism: How hype over decoupled practices influences entrepreneurial ventures. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 40(4), 106505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2025.106505>

Somià, T., Lechner, C., & Pittaway, L. (2024). Assessment and development of coachability in entrepreneurship education. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(1), 100921. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100921>

- Stephan, U., Rauch, A., & Hatak, I. (2023). Happy Entrepreneurs? Everywhere? A Meta-Analysis of Entrepreneurship and Wellbeing. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 47(2), 553–593. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104225872111072799>
- Steinbrink, K. M., & Ströhle, C. (2024). The entrepreneurial intention of top athletes— Does resilience lead the way? *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 20(2), 607–629. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-023-00860-7>
- Suryavanshi, T., Lambert, S., Lal, S., Chin, A., & Chan, T. M. (2020). Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Health Sciences Education: A Scoping Review. *Medical Science Educator*, 30(4), 1797–1809. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-020-01050-8>
- Tabib, R. (2021). Entrepreneurial universities framework: Adapting the EC-OECD model for higher education institutions in the Palestinian context. *Journal of Higher Education and Innovation*, 40(4), 134-148
- Tan, Z. M., Aggarwal, N., Cowls, J., Morley, J., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2021). The ethical debate about the gig economy: A review and critical analysis. *Technology in Society*, 65, 101594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101594>
- Tavoletti, E., Caruso, F., & Rizzo, A. (2024). Higher education institutions as incubators for entrepreneurial endeavors: Empowering innovation and venture creation. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Economic Development*, 45(2), 78-92.
- Teoh, W. M. Y., Yen, Y. Y., & Loh, J. (2024). Fostering future-ready female TVETpreneur talent framework. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, 8(11), 8177. <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i11.8177>
- Thepna, S., Chuenchom, N., & Srisuwan, M. (2023). The impact of entrepreneurship education on economic growth: Fostering innovation, new businesses, and employment opportunities. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Economic Development*, 39(2), 102-116.
- Tiwari, P., Bhat, A. K., & Tikoria, J. (2017). The role of emotional intelligence and self-efficacy on social entrepreneurial attitudes and social entrepreneurial intentions. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, 8(2), 165–185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2017.1371628>
- Tittel, A., & Terzidis, O. (2020). Entrepreneurial competences revised: Developing a consolidated and categorized list of entrepreneurial competences. *Entrepreneurship Education*, 3(1), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41959-019-00021-4>

- Tomczyk, L. (2024). Institutional theory and its impact on higher education institutions: The role of government policies and societal attitudes in fostering innovation in Region 1. *Journal of Institutional and Educational Development*, 31(2), 85-99.
- Tsekhmister, Y. (2023). Effectiveness of case-based learning in medical and pharmacy education: A meta-analysis. *Electronic Journal of General Medicine*, 20(5), em515. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejgm/13315>
- Ubochi, N. E., Osuji, J. C., Ubochi, V. N., Ogbonnaya, N. P., Anarado, A., & Iheanacho, P. N. (2021). The drive process model of entrepreneurship: A grounded theory of nurses' perception of entrepreneurship in nursing. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences*, 15, 100377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2021.100377>
- Uddin, M. K., Al Sayed, A., & Khan, S. I. (2024). Unveiling the Drivers of Social Entrepreneurship Intentions: Insights from Business Graduates in Bangladesh. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2024.2400914>
- Uribe, L., Rodríguez, A., & Pérez, M. (2024). Transformational leadership and its role in fostering an entrepreneurial culture in higher education institutions. *Journal of Educational Leadership and Innovation*, 29(2), 105-118.
- Van Dongen, D., Smith, J., & Thomas, L. (2023). Maximizing resources in higher education: Fostering innovation and entrepreneurial activities in Region 1. *Journal of Educational Leadership and Innovation*, 48(4), 112-125.
- Van Engen, M. (2024). Strengthening industry partnerships in private higher education institutions: The role of entrepreneurial orientation in regional economic growth and student employability. *Journal of Higher Education and Industry Collaboration*, 52(2), 98-112.
- Van Rijnsoever, F., Boshuizen, E., & Praag, M. (2023). Critical thinking and its role in entrepreneurship education: Enabling informed decision-making in entrepreneurial processes. *Journal of Entrepreneurial Thinking and Practice*, 40(1), 56-69.
- Vecchiarini, M., & Mussolino, D. (2013). Regional economic goals and the role of higher education institutions in fostering prosperity and resilience. *Journal of Regional Development*, 25(2), 45-58.

- Vecchiari, M., & Somià, T. (2023). Redefining entrepreneurship education in the age of artificial intelligence: An explorative analysis. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 21(3), 100879. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100879>
- Venasaar, U., Malleus, E., Arro, G., & Toding, M. (2021). Entrepreneurship Competence Model for Supporting Learners Development at All Educational Levels. *Administrative Sciences*, 12(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci12010002>
- Viebig, C. (2022). Blended learning in entrepreneurship education: A systematic literature review. *Education + Training*, 64(4), 533–558. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-05-2021-0164>
- Vleminckx, D., Janssens, M., & Dupont, P. (2025). The impact of transformational leadership on fostering innovation and entrepreneurship in private higher education institutions in Region 1. *Journal of Leadership and Educational Innovation*, 47(1), 58-72.
- Vu, T. H., Do, A. D., Ha, D. L., Hoang, D. T., Van Le, T. A., & Le, T. T. H. (2024). Antecedents of digital entrepreneurial intention among engineering students. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 4(1), 100233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjime.2024.100233>
- Wang, J., & Chen, L. (2021). The Role of Social Capital in Facilitating Entrepreneurial and Innovation Activities in Higher Education Institutions: A Network Analysis Approach. *Studies in Higher Education*, 46(3), 546-563.
- Wang, L., & Zhao, H. (2018). Curriculum reform for entrepreneurial education: Addressing rigidity and fostering innovation. *Journal of Education for Business*, 93(4), 171–179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2017.1400761>
- Wang, Y., et al. (2023). The Impact of Experiential Learning on Entrepreneurial and Innovation Activities in Higher Education Institutions. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 46(2), 112-130.
- Wang, X., Liu, M., Xu, T., Wang, K., Huang, L., & Zhang, X. (2024). New nurses' practice environment, job stress, and patient safety attitudes: A cross-sectional study based on the job demands-resources model. *BMC Nursing*, 23(1), 473. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-024-02135-0>
- Watson, A., Backhaus, C., El Akremi, A., Mignonac, K., & Perrigot, R. (2024). Franchisee well-being: The roles of entrepreneurial identity, autonomy perceptions, and franchisor

- management practices. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 123, 386–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2024.11.001>
- Weng, Q., Jiang, H., Zhao, H., Popelnukha, A., Niu, T., & Chen, L. (2025). Your success should be internally attributed: The role of performance accomplishment in entrepreneurial practice on entrepreneurial intention. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 23(2), 101161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2025.101161>
- Westlake, A. (2024). Alumni networks and their role in bridging academic learning and industry expectations. *Journal of Higher Education*, 58(3), 123-135.
- Whitacre, D. (2025). A program evaluation of the new choices workforce development program: An appreciative inquiry approach. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 108, 102507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2024.102507>
- White, J. V., & Gupta, V. K. (2020). Stress and Well-Being in Entrepreneurship: A Critical Review and Future Research Agenda. In P. L. Perrewé, P. D. Harms, & C.-H. Chang (Eds.), *Research in Occupational Stress and Well Being* (pp. 65–93). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-355520200000018004>
- Xu, L., Zhang, H., & Li, X. (2023). Entrepreneurial orientation and its impact on regional economic development: The role of higher education institutions in fostering innovation and job creation. *Journal of Regional Economic Development*, 41(3), 155-168.
- Yasin, M., Abdul, F., & Hisham, N. (2024). Innovation as a value-creating tool: Transforming ideas into commercially viable solutions in entrepreneurship. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Innovation*, 40(3), 210-225.
- Ybañez, R., De Castro, J., & Dizon, L. (2023). The importance of adaptability in entrepreneurial universities: Evolving to meet new demands in teaching, research, and community engagement. *Higher Education Review*, 56(2), 104-118.
- Young, T., & Adams, R. (2024). Evaluating the impact of entrepreneurial education: Enhancing the quality of education and support for aspiring entrepreneurs. *Journal of Higher Education and Innovation*, 42(2), 98-110.

- Yu, S., Ayub, A., & Bashir, H. (2024). Love and the practice of innovation! Roles of entrepreneurial passion and perceived innovation importance in the practice–innovation link. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 9(3), 100524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2024.100524>
- Zanchetta, M., Tognini, C., & D’Ambrosio, A. (2024). Approaching entrepreneurship education as a teachable method: Five practices to cultivate entrepreneurial mindsets. *Journal of Educational Innovation and Practice*, 32(3), 144-157.
- Zenebe, A., Alsaaty, F. M., & Anyiwo, D. (2018). Relationship between individual’s entrepreneurship intention, and adoption and knowledge of information technology and its applications: An empirical study. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 30(3), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2017.1397441>
- Zhao, B., Yang, W., Du, W., Ren, Y., Sun, J., Wu, Q., & Zhou, X. (2024). A multipath scheduler based on cross-layer information for low-delay applications in 5G edge networks. *Computer Networks*, 244, 110333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2024.110333>
- Zhao, X., & Zhang, J. (2021). The Analysis of Integration of Ideological Political Education With Innovation Entrepreneurship Education for College Students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 610409. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.610409>
- Zheng, F., Zhao, C., Yasmin, F., & Sokolova, M. (2025). Hofstede’s cultural dimensions and proactive behavior as the antecedents of entrepreneurial innovativeness. *Acta Psychologica*, 256, 104948. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.104948>
- Zulfiqar, A., Khan, M., & Ahmed, S. (2025). The entrepreneurial university model: Universities as proactive contributors to the entrepreneurial ecosystem. *Journal of Higher Education and Entrepreneurship*, 44(1), 55-69.
- Zuo, X., Wang, Y., & Liu, H. (2025a). The Triple Helix Model: Enhancing innovation through strategic alliances between universities, industry, and government. *Journal of Innovation and Regional Development*, 42(1), 111-124.



Zuo, H., Zhang, M., & Huang, W. (2025). Lifelong learning in vocational education: A game-theoretical exploration of innovation, entrepreneurial spirit, and strategic challenges. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 10(3), 100694. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2025.100694>

Zhou, X., Ma, C., Su, X., Zhang, L., & Liu, W. (2024). Knowledge is power: The impact of entrepreneurship education on the international entrepreneurship performance. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(3), 101028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.101028>