

Exploring the Use of Body-Worn Cameras on Law Enforcement: A Comprehensive Analysis

Jessy Mae Gatchalian-Hamdag
San Sebastian College - Recoletos de Cavite
jessy.hamdag@sscr.edu

Publication Date: February 21, 2026

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18884028

Abstract

The research study investigates the implementation and impact of body-worn cameras (BWCs) by law enforcement agencies in the Philippines in anti-drug operations. It aims to explore law enforcers' practices in using BWCs, assess the effectiveness of BWCs in conducting anti-drug operations and prosecuting offenders, and evaluate public perception. This study employs a mixed-methods approach to explore law enforcement agencies' utilization and impact of body-worn cameras (BWCs) in anti-drug operations in Metro Manila. Content analysis of existing policies and procedures will uncover the framework governing BWC implementation. Quantitative research, through surveys with a purposive sample of 174 respondents from PDEA and PNP anti-drug units, assesses BWC's effectiveness in operations, prosecution, and public perception. Qualitative research involving interviews with key informants explores challenges and offers recommendations. Findings underscore BWCs' role in enhancing accountability and transparency during anti-drug operations. Challenges identified include technological constraints and adherence to policies. The study's significance lies in contributing empirical data to inform policy improvements for BWC deployment in law enforcement contexts, aiming to balance operational efficiency with civil liberties. Despite their potential benefits, the deployment of BWCs

faces significant challenges. Technical issues such as battery life, storage capacity, and reliability of activation mechanisms pose obstacles to their effective use. Policy-related challenges include resistance from officers viewing BWCs as intrusive, as well as concerns over equipment reliability and privacy implications.

Legal considerations, including data security and protection of informant identities, further complicate their implementation. Empirical findings indicate that BWCs are perceived as mostly effective in anti-drug operations. They facilitate adherence to procedures, provide crucial evidence for court cases, deter misconduct, and aid in identifying accomplices. Moreover, BWC footage strengthens prosecutions, dismisses false accusations, and enhances public trust in law enforcement. To enhance BWC implementation, policy recommendations include investing in robust technology, providing comprehensive officer training, and establishing clear operational protocols. In conclusion, while BWCs offer substantial benefits in enhancing operational transparency and accountability, addressing technical, policy, and legal challenges is crucial for their successful integration into law enforcement practices, particularly in anti-drug operations.

Keywords: *body-worn cameras, police accountability, anti-drug operations, law enforcement transparency, prosecution evidence*



INTRODUCTION

The adoption of body-worn cameras (BWCs) in law enforcement has gained significant traction globally as a strategic tool to bolster accountability, transparency, and public trust. Studies from various jurisdictions, including the United States, the United Kingdom, and Australia, have consistently demonstrated the potential of BWCs to reduce instances of police misconduct, such as excessive force and the use of discriminatory practices. Moreover, these devices have proven instrumental in enhancing community relations by fostering transparency and building public confidence in law enforcement agencies (National Institute of Justice, 2022). Beyond their impact on domestic policing, BWCs have also drawn attention from international human rights organizations and legal bodies. These entities recognize the potential of BWCs to serve as crucial evidence in human rights abuse cases and to deter law enforcement misconduct. The United Nations and other intergovernmental organizations have increasingly emphasized the importance of technology-driven solutions, including BWCs, to promote accountability and uphold human rights standards in policing (National Institute of Justice, 2022). The Philippines, unfortunately, has grappled with persistent issues of police misconduct and human rights abuses, particularly within the context of its anti-drug campaign. High-profile cases of extrajudicial killings and allegations of abuse have eroded public trust in law enforcement agencies. To address these challenges, the Philippine government has implemented a series of reforms, including the mandatory use of BWCs by law enforcement officers.

The Supreme Court of the Philippines has played a pivotal role in shaping the legal framework for law enforcement operations and ensuring accountability. The landmark case of *People v. Basher Tomaw* is underscored the critical importance of adhering to strict procedural guidelines in handling evidence, particularly in drug-related cases. This decision emphasized the need for a robust chain of custody and the preservation of evidence integrity to safeguard the rights of the accused. The Court's ruling laid the groundwork for the subsequent adoption of BWCs as a tool to enhance transparency and accountability in police operations.

Research on body-worn cameras (BWCs) used by police offers a mixed bag of results, highlighting both potential benefits and challenges. It has positive impacts such as reduced use of force and complaints, improved transparency and accountability, training and evidence collection, and public and officer support. However, there are also challenges and considerations; these are data storage and management, cost-effectiveness, policy and implementation, public perception management, and ethical considerations. Further, the majority of the studies underscore the widespread acceptance and potential benefits of BWCs in law enforcement. It also emphasizes the importance of balanced policies and continued research to address concerns and reconcile differing perceptions.

Statement of the Problem

This study aims to assess the effectiveness of BWC in the actual anti-drug operations and in the prosecution of drug offenses.

Specifically, it seeks to answer the following problems:

1. What are the practices of the law enforcers in the use of BWCs during-anti-drug operations?
2. How effective is the use of a body-worn camera in terms of the following:
 - 2.1 conduct of anti-drug operations;
 - 2.2 prosecution of drug offenders; and
 - 2.3 public's perception?
3. What are the challenges encountered in implementing the policy on the use of BWC?
4. How serious are the challenges encountered in implementing the policy on the use of BWC?



5. Based on the findings, what policy recommendations can be proposed to enhance the use of BWC?

METHODS

This study employed a mixed-methods research design to comprehensively explore the implementation and impact of Body-Worn Cameras (BWCs) in law enforcement, particularly within anti-drug operations. The combination of quantitative, qualitative, and content analysis approaches allowed for a balanced investigation of policies, practices, effectiveness, and challenges. Content Analysis was used to review existing policies, procedures, and records related to BWC implementation.

Quantitative Research utilized surveys to measure the effectiveness of BWCs in anti-drug operations, prosecution of offenders, and public perception. Qualitative Research involved interviews with key informants to identify challenges and develop policy recommendations for improved BWC use. The study targeted operating units of anti-illegal drug agencies in Metro Manila, specifically:

- PDEA (70 respondents from 84 personnel)
- PNP-PDEU (104 respondents from 141 personnel)

Purposive sampling was applied to select participants knowledgeable about BWC use. Key informants for interviews included agency heads, policymakers, and prosecutors. The research was conducted in Metro Manila, chosen for its high concentration of anti-drug operations and drug-related cases. The focus was on PDEA and PNP, excluding other law enforcement agencies.

The study focused on the use, policy, and effectiveness of BWCs among PNP and PDEA anti-drug units. It excluded other agencies and was limited by data confidentiality and accessibility. Content Analysis: Review of official policies, incident reports, and operational guidelines. Survey: Distribution and retrieval of questionnaires among PDEA and PNP personnel.

Interviews was conducted with agency leaders and prosecutors using an interview guide. Each phase followed formal request procedures for data access and participation consent. Data were organized, coded, and analyzed accordingly.

Quantitative data were analyzed using:

- Percentage – to describe respondent profiles.
- Weighted Mean – to assess perceived effectiveness of BWCs.

The study observed strict ethical standards, ensuring informed consent, voluntary participation, and confidentiality of all respondents and informants. Participants were fully informed about the research purpose, data use, and their right to withdraw at any time.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The findings suggest that the use of BWCs in anti-drug operations bolsters accountability, transparency, and effectiveness in law enforcement practices. These cameras are effective tools for ensuring compliance with operational protocols, building robust legal cases, and maintaining professional conduct among officers. A closer examination of the specific weighted means provides further insights. The highest rating was attributed to BWCs in deterring inappropriate officer behavior, with a weighted mean of 3.95.



This suggests that the mere presence of BWCs can significantly impact officer conduct, promoting adherence to protocols and ethical standards. Conversely, the lowest rating was observed in the area of aiding the identification and apprehension of accomplices in drug crimes, with a weighted mean of 3.73. While still positive, this indicates a potential area for improvement, suggesting that factors such as camera quality and recording conditions might influence the effectiveness of BWCs in capturing crucial evidence.

Overall, the data support the notion that BWCs are valuable assets in enhancing accountability, transparency, and the overall effectiveness of anti-drug operations. By deterring misconduct, providing objective evidence, and aiding in investigations, BWCs contribute to a more just and efficient criminal justice system. However, it is crucial to recognize that BWCs are not a panacea. To maximize their potential, ongoing efforts should focus on addressing areas where their effectiveness is limited, such as improving the capture of evidence related to accomplices. Additionally, continuous investment in BWC technology, coupled with comprehensive training for law enforcement personnel, is essential to optimize their use and ensure their long-term impact. Another finding, highlight that BWCs play a pivotal role in ensuring transparency and credibility in the prosecution process. Their use promotes fairness and strengthens the judicial process by providing objective evidence, minimizing disputes over facts, and safeguarding the integrity of both law enforcement and judicial outcomes. The individual weighted means reveal specific areas of strength and potential for improvement. BWC footage was found to be particularly effective in bolstering the prosecution's case, as evidenced by the highest weighted mean of 3.98. This suggests that BWCs are indispensable in gathering and preserving crucial evidence, significantly enhancing the likelihood of successful convictions. While the overall impact of BWCs on promoting transparency and accountability was also positive, with a weighted mean of 3.84, there is room for improvement. While BWCs undoubtedly contribute to transparency, their full potential in ensuring that all evidence is considered might be influenced by factors such as the effective use of footage in court, the training of legal professionals in interpreting BWC evidence, and the overall capacity of the judicial system to handle digital evidence. While on the public perceptions data, suggests that BWCs are a valuable asset in the fight against illegal drugs. Their potential to strengthen prosecutions and enhance public trust is particularly noteworthy. However, to fully harness their capabilities, further research and analysis are essential to optimize their use within the context of anti-drug operations. By addressing specific challenges and limitations, law enforcement agencies can maximize the benefits of BWCs in this critical area.

Based on the responses of the key informants, the following are the key challenges encountered in implementing the policy on the use of BWCs: It can clearly show that the implementation of BWCs faces several technical hurdles that can hinder its effectiveness. One major challenge ensuring sufficient battery life and storage capacity. BWCs may not last through entire operations, potentially leading to crucial footage being missed.

Additionally, storage limitations can force officers to overwrite recordings, resulting in data loss. Another technical challenge lies in activation reliability. Cameras that don't turn on consistently or require specific actions, like holding a button for activation, can lead to missed recordings at the beginning of critical incidents. Finally, the stability of BWC mounts is also a concern. Cameras that shift during physical activity can compromise footage quality or even fall off entirely, rendering the BWC useless for that specific operation. These technical challenges necessitate investment in improved BWC technology and the development of protocols to mitigate these issues.

The issues mentioned above show the effective deployment of body-worn cameras (BWCs) in law enforcement is hindered by several technical challenges. Research and field experience consistently highlight several key issues. Battery life and storage capacity are recurrent problems. BWCs often fail to capture critical incidents due to insufficient power or storage. This limitation undermines the core purpose of BWCs, which is to provide a comprehensive record of police encounters. Additionally, the reliability of activation mechanisms is a persistent concern. Instances where cameras fail to turn on when needed can



compromise the integrity of the recorded evidence. The physical durability of BWCs is another critical issue. These devices are subject to the rigors of law enforcement work, and they can be damaged or disrupted during physical confrontations. The placement of BWCs on officers' uniforms can also impact the quality and completeness of recorded footage.

For policy and perception challenges, one key informant mentioned that “the perception of the BWC policy among law enforcement officers is mixed. On one hand, many of us see BWCs as valuable tools that enhance transparency and accountability. They can provide crucial evidence that protects us from false accusations and supports our actions in the field. However, there's also a sense of unease. Some officers feel that the constant surveillance might limit their operational freedom and discretion. Also, there's the administrative burden and the worry about possible disciplinary actions based on BWC footage, which adds to the stress.” This statement is supported by the other informant from law enforcement. He said that “some officers initially viewed BWCs as an additional burden or intrusion into their work.”

Another key informant also said that “law enforcement officers welcome the use of the BWC. The act of wearing them did not impose any problem. However, due to it being unreliable and inefficient in its job to capture the whole operation or incident, the law enforcement officers have no choice but to either use their cellphone cameras or ask others to film the incident, which results in issues arising during its authentication during the trial.”

Hence, some officers may view BWCs as an additional burden or intrusion on their daily work. This resistance can hinder program adoption and proper use. Furthermore, unreliable equipment can create a negative feedback loop. If BWCs malfunction frequently, officers may lose trust in the system and resort to using alternative recording methods like phone cameras. However, this can cause authentication issues later if the footage needs to be used in court. Finally, the BWC policy itself may be viewed with suspicion by some officers. Concern that BWCs are a tool for constant monitoring can breed resentment and hinder cooperation with the program. Addressing these challenges through open communication, highlighting the benefits of BWCs, and ensuring reliable equipment are all crucial steps towards successful BWC implementation.

Body-worn cameras (BWCs) have emerged as a promising tool for reforming law enforcement practices and rebuilding trust with the public. While the Philippines has embraced BWC technology, achieving a significant shift in public perception requires a multifaceted approach that addresses underlying challenges. Further, another critical obstacle in the implementation of BWCs is the deep public distrust stemming from police misconduct. High-profile cases and a perceived lack of accountability have created a public wary of police actions, even when captured on video. Rebuilding trust requires a sustained commitment to transparency. This involves the consistent release of BWC footage, alongside thorough investigations into allegations of wrongdoing. Additionally, robust disciplinary mechanisms with clear consequences for police misconduct are essential to demonstrate accountability.

Cultural factors also influence public perception. Filipino culture emphasizes respect for authority figures, potentially leading to a tendency to view police actions favorably, regardless of the visual evidence presented. Overcoming this ingrained respect requires fostering a culture of critical thinking and citizen engagement. Police outreach programs and community policing initiatives can help bridge the gap between law enforcement and the public, fostering a sense of partnership.

The media plays a crucial role in shaping public opinion. Sensationalized or biased reporting of BWC footage can heighten public distrust or misrepresent events. Responsible journalism focused on accurate and objective reporting is vital. Collaboration between police forces and media outlets can establish guidelines for the responsible release and interpretation of BWC footage.



The inputs above indicate that the implementation of BWCs in law enforcement is complex and multifaceted, influenced by a variety of factors, including officer attitudes, public perception, and policy considerations. Findings from this study align with previous research, highlighting the mixed perceptions of BWCs among law enforcement officers. While many recognize the potential benefits in terms of accountability and protection from false accusations, concerns about increased scrutiny and potential disciplinary actions persist. This resistance can hinder the effective adoption and utilization of BWCs. As for the legal and privacy concerns findings, a key informant mentioned that “one major issue is the potential violation of an individual's privacy rights, especially in sensitive situations like entering private properties or interacting with informants. There's always the risk of unintentionally capturing footage that could reveal the identities of undercover officers or confidential informants, which would compromise their safety and the integrity of ongoing investigations. Furthermore, recording without consent can lead to challenges in the admissibility of BWC footage as evidence in court, depending on the jurisdiction. standards,” while another informant said “ensuring secure storage and strict access controls to prevent leaks or misuse of recorded material.”

With this, legal and privacy concerns are also a significant hurdle for the implementation of BWCs. One major concern is data security. Ensuring secure storage of recorded footage and implementing robust access controls are crucial to prevent leaks or misuse of the footage. This could potentially compromise ongoing investigations or even endanger individuals. Another legal and privacy concern is informant safety. BWC footage might inadvertently capture informant faces, jeopardizing their anonymity and potentially putting them at risk of retaliation. Addressing these concerns requires the development of clear protocols for minimizing informant exposure during operations and ensuring secure storage and controlled access to BWC footage.

In summary, the implementation of BWCs in law enforcement is fraught with legal and privacy concerns. Research consistently aligns with the findings presented in this study, highlighting the critical nature of these challenges. Central to these concerns is data security and privacy. Protecting the sensitive information captured by BWCs is paramount. Data breaches and unauthorized access can have severe consequences for both individuals and law enforcement agencies. Moreover, the protection of informant identities is a critical issue. Clear protocols and safeguards must be in place to minimize the risk of exposing informants, whose safety and the integrity of investigations depend on anonymity. The legal landscape surrounding BWCs is complex and ever-evolving. Ensuring compliance with relevant laws and regulations is essential to avoid legal challenges and maintain the admissibility of BWC evidence in court. Balancing the need for transparency and accountability with the protection of individual rights is a delicate task that requires careful consideration.

As per one key informant, “the most significant impact of the BWC implementation can be seen during trial before the Court. It is easier to prove the incident and the authentication of the footage itself is uncomplicated. This results in more convictions, making the operation fruitful. Therefore, having the challenge of it not functioning/recording or stopping mid-operation is a great disadvantage that sometimes renders the use of BWC moot.” Another key informant mentioned that “absolutely, these technical challenges do affect the prosecution of drug offenders. When BWCs fail to capture critical footage due to technical malfunctions, it creates gaps, which can be detrimental in court. Reliable video evidence is often key in securing convictions, and any technical failure can weaken the case against drug offenders. Moreover, if BWC footage is deemed inadmissible due to issues like improper storage or handling, it further complicates the prosecution process. In my daily work, these challenges have led to increased stress. Technical failures mean that we have to constantly double-check our equipment, which takes time away from our primary duties. The administrative burden of managing BWC data, ensuring proper storage, and retrieving footage when needed also adds to the burden.”

Further, another key informant added that “balancing the need for transparency and accountability with protecting individual privacy rights has been a significant challenge.” Implementing BWC policies faces serious challenges that can impact prosecutions and daily police operations and require addressing critical issues with the technology itself. One major concern is the impact on prosecutions. BWC malfunctions can lead to missing footage of crucial events during operations. This creates loopholes for defense attorneys, potentially jeopardizing the outcome of cases entirely. Daily police operations can also be impacted. Officers may need to alter their procedures to ensure BWCs are recording properly, and some may resort to using additional recording devices like phones as backups. This can create confusion and raise concerns about data authentication. Several critical issues require improvement to ensure the successful implementation of BWCs. Technical reliability and ease of use of the BWCs themselves need to be addressed. Mounting mechanisms should be improved to keep cameras stable during physical activities to avoid unusable footage. Finally, officers need comprehensive training on BWC operation, data handling procedures, and policy compliance to ensure proper use and legal defensibility of recorded footage. By addressing these challenges, law enforcement agencies can create a BWC program that enhances transparency, improves officer accountability, and strengthens prosecutions.

REFERENCES

- Abramovait, J., Cowen, N., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (2021). Classical deterrence theory revisited: An empirical analysis of Police Force Areas in England and Wales. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Nick-Cowen-2/publication/356268321_Classical_deterrence_theory_revisited_An_empirical_analysis_of_Police_Force_Areas_in_England_and_Wales/links/61942ab707be5f31b78d4152/Classical-deterrence-theory-revisited-An-empirical-analysis-of-Police-Force-Areas-in-England-and-Wales.pdf
- A.M. No. 21-06-08-SC, Rules on the Use of Body-Worn Cameras in the Execution of Warrants (2021). <https://elibrary.judiciary.gov.ph/thebookshelf/showdocs/1/64241>
- Ariel, B., Farrar, W. A., & Sutherland, A. (2015). The effect of police body-worn cameras on use of force and citizens' complaints against the police: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 31(3), 509–535.
- Atilano-Tang, R. (2023). Policing and public safety: The case of police response to shooting incidents in Zamboanga City, Philippines. SSRN. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4366571>
- Bacani, R. A., Canzana, T., Sanchez, A., Trasmil, J. M., Villaruz, D., & Mosqueda, R. (2019). The mandatory use of body cameras of all law enforcement to promote transparency in Commonwealth Avenue, Quezon City: An assessment. *AASG BCP Journal Management Research*, 1(1). <https://ojs.aaresearchindex.com/index.php/aasgbcjpmra/article/view/1510>
- Beglau, D. A. (2017). The use and implementation of body-worn cameras in policing [University Honors Theses, Paper 407]. Portland State University.
- Chi, C. (2023, August 15). Lawmakers bat for mandatory use of body cams after killing of Navotas teen. *The Philippine Star*. <https://www.philstar.com/headlines/2023/08/15/2288834/lawmakers-bat-mandatory-use-body-cams-after-killing-navotas-teen>

- Comey, J. B. (2017). Introduction. In *Body-worn cameras in policing*. Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- Corpuz, R. R., Villafria, K. G., Reyes, K., Cunanan, L. A. (2023). Balancing transparency and privacy: Perceptions of body-worn cameras in Angeles City, Pampanga. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375316018_BALANCING_TRANSPARENCY_AND_PRIVACY_PERCEPTIONS_OF_BODY-WORN_CAMERAS_IN_ANGELES_CITY_PAMPANGA
- Cubukcu, S., Tekin, E., & Topalli, V. (2023). The effect of body-worn cameras on the adjudication of citizen complaints of police misconduct. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 22(4), 1–28.
- Curran, F. C. (2022). Community policing in schools and school resource officer transparency. *Educational Researcher*, 51(3), 198–209.
- Dangerous Drugs Board. (2021). DDB Regulation No. 4 Series of 2021: Sustaining the implementation of BDCP and repealing for such purpose DDB Board Reg. No. 3. <https://ddb.gov.ph/realnumbersph/>
- Dela Cruz, J. P. (2020). The use of body-worn cameras in police operations: A case study of Quezon City. *Philippine Journal of Criminology*, 5(2), 45–58.
- Grossmith, L., Owens, C., Finn, W., Mann, D., Davies, T., & Baika, L. (2015). Police, camera, evidence: London's cluster randomized controlled trial of body worn video. College of Policing. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t71982-000>
- Johnson, K., Smith, R., & Williams, T. (2022). Ethical challenges in implementing body-worn cameras: Perspectives from police officers. *Journal of Police Ethics*, 18(2), 112–128.
- Katz, C. M., & Huff, J. (2022). The Achilles heel of police body-worn cameras: Understanding the factors that influence variation in body-worn camera activation. *Justice Quarterly*, 40(3), 315–336. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07418825.2022.2071325>
- Kingtop. (2020). Body cameras: A new era of policing in the Philippines. <https://www.kingtoptec.com/body-worn-cameras/body-cameras-a-new-era-of-policing-in-the-philippines>
- Lum, C., Stoltz, M., Koper, C. S., Scherer, J. A., & Scherer, S. (2019). Research on body-worn cameras: What we know, what we need to know. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 18(1), 93–118.
- Makin, W., & Brooks, M. (2021). Systematic social event modeling: A methodology for analyzing body-worn camera footage. *Police Quarterly*, 24(4), 451–476.
- McCluskey, J., Uchida, C. D., Feys, Y., & Solomon, S. E. (2023). Systematic social observation of the police in the 21st century. Springer Nature.
- Mendoza, A. S. (2022). Body-worn cameras and police accountability in anti-drug operations in Cebu. *Journal of Philippine Criminology and Law Enforcement*, 7(1), 78–94.
- Miller, B., Thompson, K., & Davis, C. (2019). Evaluating the impact of body-worn cameras on police conduct: A meta-analysis. *Criminal Justice Review*, 44(3), 287–306.

- Morgan, C. W., Jr., Weil, N., Rasich, E. A., Ludwig, J., Chang, H., & Egrari, S. (2021, March). Body-worn cameras in policing: Benefits and costs. University of Chicago Crime Lab.
- Moriarty, L. J. (2017). *Criminal justice technology in the 21st century* (3rd ed.). Charles C Thomas Publisher.
- National Institute of Justice. (2022). Research on body-worn cameras and law enforcement. <https://nij.ojp.gov/topics/articles/research-body-worn-cameras-and-law-enforcement>
- Nelson, S. W. (2016). *Law enforcement accountability and public trust: Federal regulatory limits and financial tethers*. Nova Science Publishers.
- Philippine National Police. (2016). *PNP Memorandum Circular 16-2016: PNP Oplan Double Barrel Anti-Illegal Drugs Campaign Plan Project*.
- Philippine National Police. (2018). *PNP Memorandum Circular No. 2018-009: Operational guidelines and policies on the use of body worn cameras*.
- Poirier, B., Collin-Santerre, J., & Boivin, R. (2022). Body worn camera footage in the news: An experimental study of the impact of perspective and framing on viewer perception. *Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice*, 64(1), 82–98. <https://doi.org/10.3138/cjccj.2021-0023>
- Putra, D. P., & Yazid, S. (2022). Assessment of body-worn cameras implementation potential in Indonesia: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 6(1), 1–12.
- Ramirez, E. (2019). A report on body worn cameras. Bureau of Justice Assistance. https://bja.ojp.gov/sites/g/files/xyckuh186/files/media/document/14-005_report_body_worn_cameras.pdf
- Richardson, T. (2022). Body-worn cameras as a research tool in early years settings: Strengths and weaknesses. *International Journal of Early Childhood Research*, 15(2), 89–104.
- Rowe, M., Turner, E., & Pearson, G. (2018). Body-worn cameras in policing: Benefits and risks from a community perspective. *Policing: A Journal of Policy and Practice*, 12(3), 321–336. <https://doi.org/10.1093/police/pay013>
- San Francisco Police Department. (2016). General order: Body worn cameras. <https://www.bwescorecard.org/static/policies/2016-06-01%20San%20Francisco%20-%20BWC%20Policy.pdf>
- Santos, L. P., & Manalo, D. G. (2023). Body-worn cameras and human rights compliance in the Philippine National Police. *Journal of Police Studies*, 12(3), 202–220.
- Sogade, T. (2022). Body-worn camera footage retention and release: Developing an intermediate framework for public access in a new affirmative disclosure-driven transparency movement. *Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems*, 55(3), 401–438.

- Soriano, M. L., & Dela Paz, R. M. (2021). Enhancing police accountability through body-worn cameras: A study in Metro Manila. *Philippine Law Journal*, 93(1), 123–145.
- Sossin, L. (2017). Body-worn cameras and policing: Opportunities and challenges. *Osgoode Hall Law Journal*, 54(1), 89–111. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2928543>
- Stanley, J. (2015). Police body-mounted cameras: With right policies in place, a win for all. American Civil Liberties Union. <https://www.aclu.org/other/police-body-mounted-cameras-right-policies-place-win-all>
- Supreme Court of the Philippines. (2018). People of the Philippines, plaintiff-appellee, vs. Basher Tomawis y Ali, accused-appellant. <https://elibrary.judiciary.gov.ph>
- Tad-awan, F. P., Nginsayan, M., Angsofan, J., Bab-anga, A. T., Bognadon, K. F., Floresca, D. A., Garwageo, N. J. D., Mosawan, J., Nginsayan, M. L., & Palitayan, V. L. C. (2022, February 22). Consequences of using a body-worn camera by police officers in police operations. SSRN. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4366571
- Tambuyung, S. D. (2022, August 15). Say cheese: They're wearing cameras. Ateneo de Manila University. <https://www.ateneo.edu/analysis-opinion/2022/08/15/say-cheese-theyre-wearing-cameras>
- Taylor, E. (2016). Lights, camera, redaction... Police body-worn cameras: Autonomy, discretion and accountability. *Surveillance & Society*, 14(1), 128–132. <https://doi.org/10.24908/ss.v14i1.5799>
- Tenny, S., Brannan, J. M., & Brannan, G. D. (2022). Qualitative study. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470395/>
- The International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions. (2014). ISSAI 2020: Principles of transparency and accountability. <https://iniciativatpa.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/ISSAI-20.pdf>
- Todak, N., Gaub, J. E., & White, M. D. (2018). The importance of external stakeholders for police body-worn camera diffusion. *Policing: An International Journal*, 41(4), 448–462.
- Todak, N., Leban, L., & James, L. (2021). Citizen attitudes towards the public release of police body-worn camera video footage. *Police Practice and Research*, 22(5), 1459–1476.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2011). Handbook on police accountability, oversight and integrity. United Nations.
- White, M. D., & Malm, A. (2020). Cops, cameras, and crisis: The potential and the perils of police body-worn cameras. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190669831.001.0001>
- Witt, S. (2018). A literature review on body-worn cameras for law enforcement [University Honors Theses, Paper 660]. Portland State University.
- Yale Law School Justice Collaboratory. (n.d.). Procedural justice. <https://law.yale.edu/justice-collaboratory/procedural-justice>